

Rubric for assessing quality of the Perspectives article contribution

	Insufficient	Good	Excellent
Context	The focus of the article (e.g., opinion, emerging issue, book review) is not clear.	The focus of the article is clear but lacks sufficient context OR the focus is unclear while the context is developed.	The focus of the article is clear and the context is well developed with appropriate references. If an emerging issue, the references are current.
Appeal	The topic is not likely to be of interest to readers of the journal.	The topic is likely to be of interest to readers of the journal.	The topic is likely to be of interest to readers of the journal, and also likely to stimulate future discussion or follow-up work.
Communication	The contribution fails to argue and communicate in one or several of the following: audience adaptation, language, structure, or reference handling.	The contents of the contribution are well communicated and argued for. It is audience-adapted for accessibility in terms of language as well as structure. The contribution applies the APA style for referencing.	The content is well communicated and audience-adapted for accessibility in terms of language as well as structure. The argumentation is convincing and adapted in order not to exclude relevant groups. The contribution applies the APA style for referencing.