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The (un)desirable impacts of assessment on students

Most assessment has a strong impact on learning
• But, often it is not what we desire
Making a positive impact is very challenging
• Assessment tends to work against prompting the learning we want
What impacts are evident?

Assessment:

• gives a message to students about what we value
• prioritises some learning outcomes, ignores others
• encourages rote learning, discourages deep approaches
• poorly distributes study time across the semester
• inhibits cooperation and collaboration between students
• over-emphasises some communication skills (eg. writing with a pen) at the expense of others
• distracts students from the object of study through a focus on marks
Is assessment fit for purpose?

• Does it do what we need it to do?
  – What are these things?

• How well does it presently do it?
  – What negative consequences are there?

*Having clear conceptions of assessment is more important that understanding particular methods and techniques*
What is the assessment of students supposed to do?
What does assessment always need to do?

Ensure that learning outcomes have been met
- Summative assessment

Provide students with useful information to aid learning now
- Formative assessment

Build students’ capacity to judge their own learning
- Sustainable assessment
Australian standards

‘These Standards represent the minimum acceptable requirements for the provision of higher education in or from Australia by higher education providers registered under the TEQSA Act 2011.’

‘1.4 Learning Outcomes and Assessment

The expected learning outcomes for each course of study are specified, consistent with the level and field of education of the qualification awarded, and informed by national and international comparators. …

Methods of assessment are consistent with the learning outcomes being assessed, are capable of confirming that all specified learning outcomes are achieved and that grades awarded reflect the level of student attainment.'
What is assessment (in the context of learning outcomes)?

• Judging whether students can demonstrate attainment of learning outcomes to a given standard.

• Transparent standards must be established for assessment tasks
  – Setting a pass mark is not setting a standard!
  – Setting a general set of standards for all modules/subjects is not enough
  – Use of terms such as good, superior, excellent does not indicate a standard or communicate a level

• All assessment must be standards-based
  – Norm-referencing (judging students against each other) is banned
Assessment is relational

• How students respond to assessment is only partly influenced by the task and method used
• Responses are powerfully influenced by previous experience and expectations
• This limits our assessment design choices
Part 1.
Rethinking and redesigning feedback for greater impact on learning
What is feedback supposed to do?
Challenging old ideas about feedback
The problem with feedback

• Learners complain that they do not get enough of it
• Both parties describe it as confronting
• Both parties agree that it is very important
• Educators resent that although they put considerable time into generating feedback, learners take little notice of it
• Educators typically think their feedback information is more useful than their learners think it is
• Feedback is typically ‘telling’ and diagnostic in flavour, often lacking strategies for improvement, and often lacking opportunities for further task attempts

Ende 1995, Hattie 2009, Boud and Molloy 2013, Johnson & Molloy 2017
Is this a helpful or unhelpful idea?

Feedback = information provided to students by educators about learners’ work.
This is not feedback

“I left feedback on their final essays, which they never collected”
An important distinction

**Mark (or grade) justification**

- Judgements and comments about past work students have completed
- Essentially backward-looking

**Feedback information**

- Comments about what students can do to improve future work
- Essentially forward-looking
The project: “Feedback for Learning: Closing the Assessment Loop”

Asks

“What works, when, and why?” and “What is enabling excellent feedback?”

Large-scale, mixed-methods study

- Informed by literature and expertise from team, evaluator and reference group
- Producing workshop materials, cases of effective feedback and a framework

feedbackforlearning.org
Feedback definition

“Feedback is a process in which learners make sense of information about their performance and use it to enhance the quality of their work or learning strategies.”

feedbackforlearning.org
This is feedback
Generations of feedback thinking

*Feedback Mark 0*  Conventional. Pre-feedback

*Feedback Mark 1*  Behavioural. Closed

*Feedback Mark 2*  Agentic. Open
Evolution of feedback designs: Mark 0

• Hopefully useful information
• Given/done to receivers
  – “The lecturer gave feedback to the student”
• On completion of their work

(Boud & Molloy, 2013)
Evolution of feedback designs: Mark 1

- Hopefully useful information
- Given/done to receivers
- Sequenced to require improvement
- Given in time to allow for improved work
Can Feedback Mark 1 solve all our problems?
Difficulties with Feedback Mark 1

- The teacher is the driver and needs to continually provide comments and monitor the situation.
- The learner is dependent on the teacher to generate what they need to learn.
- It is not *sustainable* assessment. It doesn’t equip the learner to learn beyond the immediate task or course.
Evolution of feedback designs: Mark 2

• Feedback Mark 1 (ie. noticing student actions) plus:
  – Dialogic
  – Participatory and agentic
  – Peers, self, experts
  – Focus on change
  – Development of evaluative judgement
Example of Feedback Mark2

Orientation to standards of work & purpose of feedback

Activity 1

Learner judges work

Learner asks for specific feedback

Others judge work

Compare judgements

Plan for improved work

Activity 2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Approach</strong></th>
<th>Feedback Mark 0</th>
<th>Feedback Mark 1</th>
<th>Feedback Mark 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>Behavioural/cognitive</td>
<td>Agentic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Locus</strong></td>
<td>Teacher initiated</td>
<td>Teacher-driven</td>
<td>Learner-driven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Features</strong></td>
<td>Taken-for-granted act of teacher/assessor</td>
<td>Closed system Classic feedback Tight loop</td>
<td>Open system Adaptive/responsive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td>At end of teaching sequence</td>
<td>During learning</td>
<td>During learning and beyond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effects</strong></td>
<td>Effects not detected directly</td>
<td>Effects closely monitored by teachers</td>
<td>Effects monitored by teachers and learners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Learner involvement</strong></td>
<td>No student involvement needed</td>
<td>Student involvement in response to specific stimulus</td>
<td>Student engagement intrinsic to process—dialogic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information provided</strong></td>
<td>Information provided not influenced by effects</td>
<td>Information provided changes in response to immediate effects</td>
<td>Information provided changes in response to effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal</strong></td>
<td>Study improvement</td>
<td>Task performance improvement</td>
<td>Judgement performance improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Feedback loop</strong></td>
<td>None explicitly</td>
<td>Single loop</td>
<td>Double loop</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some issues arising from students (n=4514)

1. Students want to improve their work and not just get marks
2. Think about turn-around time for comments in terms of the next task
3. Rubrics alone are not enough for feedback
4. Focus on the design of a feedback process, not just comments
   a) Follow-up tasks
   b) Build people other than teachers into feedback designs
   c) Consider: what kind of comments can be used by students to improve their work?
5. Spend time on building students’ feedback literacy
Case studies of effective feedback

- Surveys and focus groups with educators and students identified cases where feedback was working well
- In-depth interviews with multiple teaching staff and students to understand what is working well and why
- Cases are useful exemplars of effective feedback – but also the lessons learnt in enabling feedback

feedbackforlearning.org
Case studies of effective feedback

1. Developmental and diverse feedback:
   helping first-year learners to transition into higher education

2. Personalised feedback at scale:
   moderating audio feedback in first-year

3. In-class feedback:
   a flipped teaching model in first-year

4. Authentic feedback through social media in second year

5. Layers and loops:
   scaffolding feedback opportunities in first-year biology

6. Multiple prompt strategies across contexts:
   feedback in classroom, lab and professional practice

7. Investing in educators:
   enhancing feedback practices through the development of strong tutoring teams

feedbackforlearning.org
The context of problem-based learning

• Advantages for feedback processes
  – Multiple feedback cycles are possible (at least one for each problem)
  – Peer feedback is already legitimated in PBL

• Challenges for feedback in PBL
  – Where is feedback information documented/recorded?
  – Opportunities for expert input may be limited
  – Acting on information needs to be a conscious part of the process, not taken as given
  – Accommodating individual rather than group feedback
Digital enablers of feedback

• LMS can be set up to hold students’ work, feedback information and responses to it
• Tracking of inputs and actions over time is facilitated
• Visual building towards meeting course/program learning outcomes is possible
• Portfolios can be used to managed all aspects of assessment, reflection and response
Key points about feedback

• Feedback provides one of few ways in which courses are tailored to the individual needs of students

• Feedback processes need to be carefully designed
  – Giving comments to students is only one part of a feedback process
  – Without active involvement from students, feedback can’t work
  – Unless the loop is completed, feedback has not occurred

• Feedback should be judged in terms of its effect on student learning
Ten feedback strategies to make a difference

1. Build in a following task in which students can apply feedback info from the first
2. Have students identify and state what kind of comments they would like
3. Have students respond to feedback information with a plan for what they are going to do about it
4. Have students judge their work against criteria or a rubric before they hand it in
5. Facilitate peer feedback sessions
6. Distinguish between mark justification and feedback information when making comments
7. Move detailed feedback comments from late in the semester to earlier when students can act of them
8. Focus on comments for improvement rather than corrections
9. Point to models and exemplars of good work
10. Train students to be feedback literate (ie. What feedback is and how they can make it work)

Draw inspiration and find many more strategies from the case studies of excellent practice at feedbackforlearning.org
Implications for work-contexts

• Feedback involves a personal understanding between recipient and provider
• Providing unsolicited feedback is an act of exercising power over the other
• Building of trust of a pre-requisite for a feedback relationship
• Building such relationships is necessary for learning at work
The feedback contract

• Feedback only works through trust and mutual understanding
• Providing unsolicited feedback information is commonplace in education but can be offensive elsewhere
• What is the warrant for providing information to another person?
• What kind of permission is needed for what purposes?
• Knowing the goals/expectations of the recipient is a necessary feature
The Learner Feedback Literacy Framework

A learner exhibiting well developed feedback literacy:

• Section 1: Commits to feedback as improvement
• Section 2: Appreciates feedback as an active process
• Section 3: Elicits information to improve learning
• Section 4: Processes feedback information
• Section 5: Acknowledges and works with emotions
• Section 6: Acknowledges feedback as a reciprocal process
• Section 7: Enacts outcomes of processing of feedback information
**Section 1: Commits to feedback as improvement**

1. Establishes a disposition to use feedback to continually improve their work

2. Acknowledges that mastery/expertise is not fixed, but can change over time and context
1.1 Establishes a disposition to use feedback to continually improve their work

“So anytime that there is actual feedback, I tend to take it on board. So it is not like - I don’t say, “Oh I’m going to change my behaviour because this one comment hit me hard somehow”. It is more, ‘Okay, so obviously I’ve got something here that is deficient. I need to remedy that and then I’ll do it’ ”

D_UG_STEM
## Section 2: Appreciates feedback as an active process

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Acknowledges the role of feedback processes in improving work and refining judgements and learning strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Recognises that effective learners are active in identifying their own learning needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Anticipates their own learning needs and communicates these to appropriate others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Understands the role of standards and criteria in judging the work of oneself and others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Identifies that they need to complete a feedback loop for information provided by others to be effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Recognises that feedback should build capacity to develop their own evaluative judgment over time and over different learning outcomes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.7. Identifies that they need to complete a feedback loop for information provided by others to be effective

“I think it’s helpful when the first assessment task kind of helps with the second one. Where they’re two different formats, you don’t really have another chance to improve what you’ve been given to work on. I had a lab report in our first assignment was to just write the introduction, and submit that. And we got feedback for that. And then the last assignment was to submit the whole lab report. So you actually had the chance to include the feedback and, like, my comments had noted that they could see I had taken the feedback and applied it, which was good to see that that works.”

D_UG&PG_Health
### Section 3: Elicits information to improve learning

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Realises that feedback requires active elicitation and does not wait for others to provide unsolicited information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Uses a wide repertoire of strategies to elicit appropriate information from others to assist learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Considers feedback from multiple sources—eg. teachers, peers, practitioners—to provide a different scope and opportunities for learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Recognises that different stakeholders may have different perspectives, experience and levels of investment in the process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Engages in dialogue to elicit useful information about standards, criteria and the nature of good work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Seeks out exemplars as a way to make sense of standards of work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Seeks cues from the environment and the task itself that indicate the appropriateness of work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Section 4: Processes feedback information

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>16.</strong> Identifies and utilizes standards, criteria and exemplars</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>17.</strong> Recognises and interprets language peculiar to education containing important cues about the task or related outcomes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>18.</strong> Selectively accepts and rejects views of others in coming to their own appraisals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>19.</strong> Extracts key actionable information from others, which may require prompting for more detail or clarity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.16. Identifies and utilizes standards, criteria and exemplars

“I was very happy with the unit because we got constant feedback and also sample answers like it contained what the tutors were expecting from us, like kind of an answer they were expecting. So, apart from feedback, I think it’s always better to have something in hand to look at to improve on it, but they also help us improve by looking at the sample. “

D_UG_non-STEM
## Section 5: Acknowledges and works with emotions

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Demonstrates volition and sensitivity in approaching others to elicit suggestions and to continue dialogue with them as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Demonstrates openness to receiving comments from others without displaying defensiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Builds trust in facilitating honest and meaningful information exchanges with others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Recognises that information comes in different modes with different capacities to mobilise emotions, eg. individual and group, written and through various other media, structured and informal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Manages the emotional challenges of receiving and sifting information which may be unwelcome or misjudged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Considers the influence of high stakes assessment on the way learners might engage in candid dialogue about their own performance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 6. Acknowledges feedback as a reciprocal process

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Recognises that they have roles as both user and provider of information and that skill in one role helps in the other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Composes useful information for others about the nature of their work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Exhibits cultural sensitivity through not assuming that others are likely to react in the same way as oneself in receiving and responding to information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Section 7: Enacts outcomes of processing of feedback information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>29. Responds to feedback information from others through goal-setting and planning how it might be utilized in future work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30. Analyses and records information in appropriate forms for the purposes of acting on it subsequently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Monitors their own progress to discern where feedback might be helpful and to influence the setting of new learning goals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Using the framework

• Develop elements of feedback literacy in core first year courses
• Position students as active learners through all feedback activities
• Identify why some students don’t seem to benefit from feedback comments
• Develop an instrument to enable development of feedback literacy to be tracked over time
Challenges for feedback literacy development

1. Seeing feedback as the business of learners (and soon to be, employees)
2. Shifting the perspectives of teachers from ‘information providers’ to facilitators of learner feedback literacy
3. Working with, and managing affect, as part of feedback
4. Creating pedagogical designs to promote feedback literacy
Alternatives to written feedback comments

There are many modes for feedback comments with various pros and cons:

• Group comments
  – Students don’t see these as feedback
  – Not oriented to individual needs

• Face-to-face
  – No time to do this for everyone
  – The wrong students benefit when it is offered

• Video (or audio) comments
  – More personal and nuanced that written
  – Saves time

• Screencast plus audio comments
  – Needed for technical/visual assignments
So that's what it looks like!

FEEDBACK

...only in this light...

feedbackforlearning.org
Part 2.
Developing evaluative judgement within courses
Developing evaluative judgement

• If students can’t judge the quality of their own work, how can they learn effectively?
• If graduates can’t judge the quality of their own work, how can they practice effectively?
• If they can’t help each other judge the quality of their work, how can they work effectively with each other?
The problem of (all) work

When confronted with a new challenge, how do we know if we are doing well or not?
How do we know if we are working well?

• Look for examples of good work of a similar type
• Ask peers/co-workers
• Identify the basis on which you will be judged (protocols, contracts, specifications, etc.)
• Show samples to others

• Consult documentation
• Ask experts
• Ask one’s line manager!
Evaluative judgement is:

the capability to make informed decisions about the quality of work of self and others
Why is it important?

• Being able to judge the quality of one’s own and others’ work, is necessary for lifelong learning.
• It underpins students’ capacity to engage in feedback conversations, through a better understanding of standards.
• It promotes holistic and explicit understandings of quality.
• It is a precursor to the development of expertise.
Surely, self and peer assessment is enough?

• Not when it is misused and misunderstood, as it frequently is
  – Not about students grading themselves and each other, but about identifying appropriate criteria and applying them
• When we give students incentives to distort their judgements we shouldn’t be surprised when this happens
• There is a much bigger issue at stake than marking
Why a new frame?

• Focuses attention on what graduates need to be capable of, no matter what their course
• Positions assessment as an act to inform students judgement, not one which makes unilateral decisions about students
• Uses educational rather than psychological language to describe a located practice
Don’t we all do it anyway?

Yes, but:

• It is often not an explicit focus
• It is rarely a systemic focus
• It gets distracted by the fragmentation of the curriculum, multiple placements, etc.
• Many existing teaching, learning and, particularly, assessment practices inhibit it
What do we do now to promote development of evaluative judgement?
Steps towards promoting students’ informed judgement

Element 1  Identifying one’s self as an active learner
Element 2  Identifying one’s own level of knowledge and the gaps in this
Element 3  Practising testing and judging [and using feedback]
Element 4  Developing these skills over time
Element 5  Embodying reflexivity and commitment

Boud & Falchikov 2007
Features of evaluative judgement

1. To make a judgement about quality you need an understanding of quality
2. Recognition of a standard (implicit or explicit; individual or community)
3. A desire, opportunity or habit developed in learners for making these judgements as a way of being that is contextual, social and cultural
4. Requirement to articulate and justify these judgements – iteratively contributes to understandings of quality
Integral components of evaluative judgement

- Understanding notions of quality
  - Engaging with models
  - Discussing standards
  - Discussing criteria
  - Using exemplars
  - Observing performance
  - Discussing performance

- Making comparisons
  - Receiving feedback information
  - Assessing others against criteria/rubrics
  - Giving feedback information
  - Evaluating own performance
  - Developing rubrics/criteria
How can we develop it in our students?

Learning and teaching activities
- Identifying criteria
- Use of exemplars
- Co-creation of rubrics
- Utilising criteria
- Self assessment
- Peer feedback

Assessment tasks
- Incorporate prior self-assessments
- Integrate feedback dialogue
- Pre- and Post-feedback student action plans
What is not likely to be effective?

- Single interventions in individual course units
- Practice without feedback on effectiveness of judgement
- Developing it in one knowledge domain and assuming it transfers to others
- Delay until students know more, are more advanced, more mature, etc.
- Focusing only on the judgement itself, under-emphasising appreciation of standards and criteria of quality
An example:
*Assignment with added evaluative judgement features*

**Before assignment commenced**
- In class: nominal group identifying features of the assignment done well
- Assignment attachment sheet created with these as criteria

*Once assignment complete but before submission*
- Student gets input from a peer and revises assignment as needed
- Student identifies and records how well their assignment addresses the agreed criteria

*Post submission*
- Feedback information from teacher focuses on discrepancies between student and teacher judgements using the agreed criteria as a focus
- Student constructs an action plan which focuses on areas needing development
Main elements of the example

• Students engage with criteria and standards ahead of generating work
• Students get inputs from others about their actual work
• Students calibrate their judgements against those of others
• Response to comments is expected
• Next assignment enables previous feedback to be utilised
Pedagogical practices that, if designed suitably, can develop evaluative judgement

- Identifying standards and criteria
  not just those provided
- Feedback
  to help learners’ calibrate their judgements
- Rubrics
  co-creation with learners
- Self assessment
  using criteria, over time, over tasks
- Peer feedback
  Qualitative, without grading
- Exemplars
  dialogue about multiple and contrasting examples
Curriculum practices that can aid development of evaluative judgement

• Assessment of learning outcomes
• A programmatic rather than a module-centric approach
• Use of curriculum mapping
• Adoption of sustainable assessment throughout
• Embedding evaluative activities within normal tasks and assessments
• Mechanisms for learners to track attainment of outcomes across a program
What is not likely to be effective?

- Single interventions in selected units
- Practice without feedback on effectiveness of judgement
- Developing it in one domain and assuming it transfers to others
- Delaying until learners know more, are more advanced, more mature, etc.
- Focusing on the judgement itself, while under-emphasising the appreciation of standards and criteria of quality
What practices can work in your contexts?

• Joined up ones
• Integrated into normal work
CRADLE Suggests ... series

- Developed from CRADLE research projects
- Series of principles which we are hope are useful for implementation
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An agenda for assessment

- Assessment and feedback must be deliberately designed to have a continuing positive influence on student learning. It must leave them being equipped for the future, not judged on the past.
- In a outcomes-based world, students must be comfortable in using standards and criteria for themselves and with each other.
- Building the capacity to judge one's own work and that of others is the educational outcome on which all others are dependent.
- We equip students for the future not primarily through the specific knowledge and skills they acquire but through their capacity to make informed decisions through their own practice.
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What constitutes effective comments on students’ work?
Hattie’s model for feedback comments

• Comments can be directed at four different levels of operation of the student. Feedback will be ineffective if directed at an inappropriate level.
• The responses of students and their efficacy are dependent on the focus and type of comments they get.
• If the focus is inappropriate to their needs, feedback may be ineffective, because the student is unable to transform information into action where it is needed most.

Hattie and Timperley 2008; Hattie and Gan, 2011
Levels of operation at which feedback comments are pitched:

- Task focused
- Process focused
- Self-regulation focused
- Person focused
Levels of operation at which feedback comments are pitched:

• Task focused
  – Most common
• Process focused
  – More effective
• Self-regulation focused
  – Most needed
• Person focused
  – Mostly ineffective
Elements of self regulation focus

• capacity to create ‘internal’ feedback.
• ability to self-assess.
• willingness to invest effort into seeking and dealing with feedback information.
• degree of confidence or certainty in the correctness of the response.
• attributions about success or failure.
• level of proficiency at seeking help.
Guidance for those offering comments

• Be wary of old nostrums and supposed ‘good practice’
• Involve the learner
  – if they are positioned as passive recipients they will act as such
• Think about what you really want to influence
  – It may not be good use of your time to offer simple corrections
• Always do it when students are in a position to act on it
  – Not at the end of a unit!
• Comment as if it were a part of an ongoing dialogue
  – One-off, disconnected input is very unlikely to influence
Key questions for excellent feedback practice

*Design:*
- are tasks positioned within the course to enable feedback to occur and for students to improve their work?
- Are tasks (and what precedes them) designed to stimulate worthwhile learning?

*Inputs to students:*
- Are comments to students designed to lead to specific improvements in their work/learning strategies?

*Responses of students:*
- Are they expected from the start of the course/task to be active players?
- Are they necessarily expected to respond to and act on inputs from others to produce improved work?

*Feedback to teachers*
- Are you monitoring students’ work with a view to adjusting the course to create bigger positive effects on their learning?
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