

concept

Eivind Tveter, Tore Tomasgard
and Maria Laingen

The service life of transport
infrastructure: An ex-post
analysis of rail and roads

Concept report no. 67



transport
policy
centre
report

Eivind Tveter, Tore Tomasgard
and Maria Laingen

**The service life of transport
infrastructure: An ex-post
analysis of rail and roads**

Concept report no. 67

Concept report no. 67

The service life of transport infrastructure: An ex-post analysis of rail and roads (English summary)

Norwegian title: Til Dovre faller? En studie av faktisk levetid for veg og jernbane

Eivind Tvetter
Høgskolen i Molde

Tore Tomasgard
Møreforsking AS

Maria Laingen
Møreforsking AS

ISSN: 0803-9763 (paper version)

ISSN: 0804-5585 (web version)

ISBN: 978-82-8433-022-8 (paper version)

ISBN: 978-82-8433-023-5 (web version)

© Concept Research Programme. The publication may be quoted freely with attribution

DATE: June 2022

PUBLISHER: Ex Ante Academic Publisher

Concept Research Programme
Norwegian University of Science and Technology
7491 NTNU – Trondheim
Norway
www.ntnu.no/concept

The responsibility for the information in the reports produced on behalf of the Concept Research Programme is on the commissioned party. Views and conclusions are on account of the authors and not necessarily identical to the views of the Concept Research Programme. All contributions are reviewed in a peer review process.

English Summary

The life expectancy of infrastructure is a vital assumption in cost-benefit analyses. That is, how long one expects the investment to produce welfare effects for society. This study examines the ex-post service life of Norwegian transport infrastructure by looking at how long the infrastructure has been used before it has been upgraded or replaced. We look at road and railway infrastructure and use several data sources.

We distinguish between technical and economic life. The technical service life indicates how many years the physical infrastructure can be used according to the technical condition and safety requirements. While the economic life indicates how long the infrastructure can serve its purpose. However, in cost-benefit analysis, the main focus is the analysis period, i.e. the period a calculated effect is included in the analysis. As a rule, the analysis period should equal the economic life. But the economic life can also be longer than the analysis period, which is handled by using a residual value.

The changes that we have seen in the assumption of economic life and analysis period in Norwegian cost-benefit analysis over the past decade inspired this study. First, the guidelines for the analysis period were increased from 25 to 40 years in 2012, based on the recommendations from the Hagen Committee (NOU 2012: 16). Thereafter, the expected economic life of road projects was increased from 40 to 75 years for road projects (excluding minor improvements) in connection with the National Transport Plan for the period 2022–2033. A lifetime of 75 years has, however, been practiced for a long time for railway investments. These changes significantly increased the calculated benefits of transport infrastructure investments (Halse et al., 2021). However, despite the importance of economic life, no previous studies have examined the ex-post economic life of infrastructure. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to examine what economic life has been historically, to be able to say something about what is reasonable to expect in the future.

How the benefit and cost effects are distributed over the lifetime is also essential for the economic analysis. However, we limit the scope of this study to life expectancy. The reason is that this requires less information about the projects. In principle, only the start and end points are needed, while the

distribution of benefits and costs over time will require significantly more information. We leave this task to further research.

The report has the following structure. Chapter 1 introduces the theme. Chapter 2 discusses the definition of service life and assessments of associated assumptions. We suggest that service life can be divided into actual vs expected and technical vs service.

Chapter 3 reviews national and international practice on service life, analysis period, and treatment of residual value in economic analyses. This review shows a significant variation in service life, analysis period and residual value. We also see that the Norwegian assumptions about service life, analysis period and residual value are high compared with other countries.

Chapter 4 reviews the literature that examines the expected technical and economic life. We find no previous studies looking at the actual economic life of transportation infrastructure. The review, therefore, includes studies that look at technical life and studies that examine the service life of fixed capital assets in national accounts. We find results that harmonize with the differences in assumptions between countries from Chapter 3. The lifespan of transport infrastructure is mainly between 30 and 60 years in these analyses, i.e., somewhat below the longest lifespan of 75 years that can be used in cost-benefit analyses in Norway. On the other hand, this range is consistent with the main estimate for life expectancy in Norway, which according to current guidelines, is 40 years.

In Chapter 5, we present the method and data used in the study. We first go into the chosen method of survival analysis. This analysis technique, most common in health and engineering sciences, is used to estimate how long components or patients live and examine factors that affect longevity. This methodology addresses the censoring bias that arises when all existing infrastructure has a known opening year (start time) but an unknown end point (residual life).

The chapter also presents the seven different datasets used:

- Railway sections in Norway
- Bridges in the county of Akershus
- Tunnels in the county of Rogaland

-
- Norwegian bridges over 400 meters
 - Bridges built after 1960
 - Europaveg 18 (Ørje – Kristiansand)
 - Europaveg 6 (Svinesund – Hedmark border)

For railways, data are used for opening, closing, and significant changes for railway lines. This provides an almost complete overview. For road sections, a total count is more demanding. Different sources are therefore used here. Most emphasis is placed on the data for service life for two European road sections, E6 and E18, in Southern and Eastern Norway. These have been selected because we have quite good sources of what has happened on the stretches in recent decades.

Chapters 6 and 7 present and discuss the results. The analysis of railway lines indicates longevity. Historically, the lifespan has been well over 100 years, and most stretches have survived several stages of technological development. On average, the lifespan before the sections have been closed is over 120 years, while it has typically been 60 years from the opening year until significant alterations (events) have taken place. Historically, therefore, life expectancy, on average, has been well over 75 years. A life expectancy of 75 years acts as a conservative estimate for life expectancy, including the risk of unexpected events and conditions that cannot be predicted today.

For road infrastructure, the picture is more complex. According to the analysis of European road sections in Eastern Norway, the results indicate a lifespan of just over 40 years. More precisely, it took over 40 years, from the opening year to a significant upgrade. The data material indicates a longer life for bridges and tunnels in history. For bridges, the results indicate lifetimes between 60 and 70 years, while the lifespan of tunnels is even longer. These results are not comparable since most of the bridges and tunnels are on county roads, while the sections analysed are European roads. Overall, the results indicate a lifespan of between 40 and 60 years for road infrastructure, which is well below the estimated service life of 75 years used today.

Although the results differ somewhat and do not give a complete picture of the life of roads and railway lines, these results can contribute to the debate about economic life and analysis period in cost-benefit analysis. First and foremost, our ex-post analyses show that the actual lifetime of transport investment varies greatly. We see no reason for this variation to be reduced in

the years to come. If the infrastructure in history were to have a lifespan of 75 years, this means that infrastructure built just before World War II will provide benefits until 2014. But how much was known in the late 1930s about the future requirements for performance, capacity and quality for transport infrastructure in the 1990s? The changes in the future may also be less extensive, and the Ministry of Finance's quality assurance regime can contribute to better project selection. Still, we cannot rule out that the changes in transport needs and technological development will be as significant as in the decades we have behind us.

More knowledge about the factors that affect the ex-post service life can reduce the uncertainty in life expectancy. A possible implication of the findings is that life expectancy should be able to vary and thereby reduce the uncertainty in actual life expectancy.

Concept report series

Paper version: ISSN 0803-9763

Web version: ISSN 0804-5585

Norwegian version: <https://www.ntnu.no/concept/concept-rapportserie>

English version: <https://www.ntnu.edu/concept/concept-report-series>

Report	Title	Author (-s)
No 1	Styring av prosjektporteføljer i staten. Usikkerhetsavsetning på porteføljenivå <i>Project Portfolio Management. Estimating Provisions for Uncertainty at Portfolio Level.</i>	Stein Berntsen and Thorleif Sunde
No 2	Statlig styring av prosjektleidelse. Empiri og økonomiske prinsipper. <i>Economic Incentives in Public Project Management</i>	Dag Morten Dalen, Ola Lædre and Christian Riis
No 3	Beslutningsunderlag og beslutninger i store statlige investeringsprosjekt <i>Decisions and the Basis for Decisions in Major Public Investment Projects</i>	Stein V. Larsen, Eilif Holte and Sverre Haanæs
No 4	Konseptutvikling og evaluering i store statlige investeringsprosjekt <i>Concept Development and Evaluation in Major Public Investment Projects</i>	Hege Gry Solheim, Erik Dammen, Håvard O. Skaldebø, Eystein Myking, Elisabeth K. Svendsen and Paul Torgersen
No 5	Bedre behovsanalyser. Erfaringer og anbefalinger om behovsanalyser i store offentlige investeringsprosjekt <i>Needs Analysis in Major Public Investment Projects. Lessons and Recommendations</i>	Petter Næss
No 6	Målformulering i store statlige investeringsprosjekt <i>Alignment of Objectives in Major Public Investment Projects</i>	Ole Jonny Klakegg
No 7	Hvordan tror vi at det blir? Effektvurderinger av store offentlige prosjekter <i>Up-front Conjecture of Anticipated Effects of Major Public Investment Projects</i>	Nils Olsson
No 8	Realopsjoner og fleksibilitet i store offentlige investeringsprosjekt <i>Real Options and Flexibility in Major Public Investment Projects</i>	Kjell Arne Brekke

Report	Title	Author (-s)
No 9	Bedre utforming av store offentlige investeringsprosjekter. Vurdering av behov, mål og effekt i tidligfasen <i>Improved Design of Public Investment Projects. Up-front Appraisal of Needs, Objectives and Effects</i>	Petter Næss med bidrag fra Kjell Arne Brekke, Nils Olsson and Ole Jonny Klakegg
No 10	Usikkerhetsanalyse – Kontekst og grunnlag <i>Uncertainty Analysis – Context and Foundations</i>	Kjell Austeng, Olav Torp, Jon Terje Midtbø, Ingemund Jordanger, and Ole M Magnussen
No 11	Usikkerhetsanalyse – Modellering, estimering og beregning <i>Uncertainty Analysis – Modeling, Estimation and Calculation</i>	Frode Drevland, Kjell Austeng and Olav Torp
No 12	Metoder for usikkerhetsanalyse <i>Uncertainty Analysis – Methodology</i>	Kjell Austeng, Jon Terje Midtbø, Vidar Helland, Olav Torp and Ingemund Jordanger
No 13	Usikkerhetsanalyse – Feilkilder i metode og beregning <i>Uncertainty Analysis – Methodological Errors in Data and Analysis</i>	Kjell Austeng, Vibeke Binz and Frode Drevland
No 14	Positiv usikkerhet og økt verdiskaping <i>Positive Uncertainty and Increasing Return on Investments</i>	Ingemund Jordanger
No 15	Kostnadsusikkerhet i store statlige investeringsprosjekter; Empiriske studier basert på KS2 <i>Cost Uncertainty in Large Public Investment Projects. Empirical Studies</i>	Olav Torp (red.), Ole M Magnussen, Nils Olsson and Ole Jonny Klakegg
No 16	Kontrahering i prosjektets tidligfase. Forsvarets anskaffelser. <i>Procurement in a Project's Early Phases. Defense Aquisitions</i>	Erik N. Warberg
No 17	Beslutninger på svakt informasjonsgrunnlag. Tilnærminger og utfordringer i prosjekters tidlige fase <i>Decisions Based on Scant Information. Challenges and Tools During the Front-end Phases of Projects</i>	Kjell Sunnevåg (red.)

Report	Title	Author (-s)
No 18	Flermålsanalyser i store statlige investeringsprosjekt <i>Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis In Major Public Investment Projects</i>	Ingemund Jordanger, Stein Malerud, Harald Minken and Arvid Strand
No 19	Effektvurdering av store statlige investeringsprosjekter <i>Impact Assessment of Major Public Investment Projects</i>	Bjørn Andersen, Svein Bråthen, Tom Fagerhaug, Ola Nafstad, Petter Næss and Nils Olsson
No 20	Investorers vurdering av prosjekters godhet <i>Investors' Appraisal of Project Feasibility</i>	Nils Olsson, Stein Frydenberg, Erik W. Jakobsen, Svein Jessen, Roger Sørheim and Lillian Waagø
No 21	Logisk minimalisme, rasjonalitet - og de avgjørende valg <i>Major Projects: Logical Minimalism, Rationality and Grand Choices</i>	Knut Samset, Arvid Strand and Vincent F. Hendricks
No 22	Miljøøkonomi og samfunnsøkonomisk lønnsomhet <i>Environmental Economics and Economic Viability</i>	Kåre P. Hagen
No 23	The Norwegian Front-End Governance Regime of Major Public Projects – A Theoretically Based Analysis and Evaluation	Tom Christensen
No 24	Markedsorienterte styringsmetoder i miljøpolitikken <i>Market oriented approaches to environmental policy</i>	Kåre P. Hagen
No 25	Regime for planlegging og beslutning i sykehusprosjekter <i>Planning and Decision Making in Hospital Projects. Lessons with the Norwegian Governance Scheme.</i>	Asmund Myrbostad, Tarald Rohde, Pål Martinussen and Marte Lauvsnes
No 26	Politisk styring, lokal rasjonalitet og komplekse koalisjoner. Tidligfaseprosessen i store offentlige investeringsprosjekter <i>Political Control, Local Rationality and Complex Coalitions. Focus on the Front-End of Large Public Investment Projects</i>	Erik Whist and Tom Christensen

Report	Title	Author (-s)
No 27	Verdsetting av fremtiden. Tidshorisont og diskonteringsrenter <i>Valuing the future. Time Horizon and Discount Rates</i>	Kåre P. Hagen
No 28	Fjorden, byen og operaen. En evaluering av Bjørvikautbyggingen i et beslutningsteoretisk perspektiv <i>The Fjord, the City and the Opera. An Evaluation of Bjørvika Urban Development</i>	Erik Whist and Tom Christensen
No 29	Levedyktighet og investeringstiltak. Erfaringer fra kvalitetssikring av statlige investeringsprosjekter <i>Sustainability and Public Investments. Lessons from Major Public Investment Projects</i>	Ola Lædre, Gro Holst Volden and Tore Haavaldsen
No 30	Ettrevaluering av statlige investeringsprosjekter. Konklusjoner, erfaringer og råd basert på pilotevaluering av fire prosjekter <i>Evaluating Public Investment Projects. Lessons and Advice from a Meta-Evaluation of Four Projects</i>	Gro Holst Volden and Knut Samset
No 31	Store statlige investeringers betydning for konkurranse- og markedsutviklingen. Håndtering av konkurransemessige problemstillinger i utredningsfasen <i>Major Public Investments' Impact on Competition. How to Deal with Competition Issues as Part of the Project Appraisal</i>	Asbjørn Englund, Harald Bergh, Aleksander Møll and Ove Skaug Halsos
No 32	Analyse av systematisk usikkerhet i norsk økonomi. <i>Analysis of Systematic Uncertainty in the Norwegian Economy.</i>	Haakon Vennemo, Michael Hoel and Henning Wahlquist
No 33	Planprosesser, beregningsverktøy og bruk av nytte-kostnadsanalyser i vegsektoren. En sammenlikning av praksis i Norge og Sverige. <i>Planning, Analytic Tools and the Use of Cost-Benefit Analysis in the Transport Sector in Norway and Sweden.</i>	Morten Welde, Jonas Eliasson, James Odeck and Maria Börjesson
No 34	Mulighetsrommet. En studie om konseptutredninger og konseptvalg	Knut Samset, Bjørn Andersen and Kjell Austeng

Report	Title	Author (-s)
	<i>The Opportunity Space. A Study of Conceptual Appraisals and the Choice of Conceptual Solutions.</i>	
No 35	Statens prosjektmodell. Bedre kostnadsstyring. Erfaringer med de første investeringstiltakene som har vært gjennom ekstern kvalitetssikring	Knut Samset and Gro Holst Volden
No 36	Investing for Impact. Lessons with the Norwegian State Project Model and the First Investment Projects that Have Been Subjected to External Quality Assurance	Knut Samset and Gro Holst Volden
No 37	Bruk av karbonpriser i praktiske samfunnsøkonomiske analyser. En oversikt over praksis fra analyser av statlige investeringsprosjekter under KVV-/KS1-ordningen. <i>Use of Carbon Prices in Cost-Benefit Analysis. Practices in Project Appraisals of Major Public Investment Projects under the Norwegian State Project Model</i>	Gro Holst Volden
No 38	Ikke-prissatte virkninger i samfunnsøkonomisk analyse. Praksis og erfaringer i statlige investeringsprosjekter <i>Non-Monetized Impacts in Economic Analysis. Practice and Lessons from Public Investment Projects</i>	Heidi Bull-Berg, Gro Holst Volden and Inger Lise Tyholt Grindvoll
No 39	Lav prising – store valg. En studie av underestimering av kostnader i prosjekters tidligfase <i>Low estimates – high stakes. A study of underestimation of costs in projects' earliest phase</i>	Morten Welde, Knut Samset, Bjørn Andersen and Kjell Austeng
No 40	Mot sin hensikt. Perverse insentiver – om offentlige investerings-prosjekter som ikke forplikter <i>Perverse incentives and counterproductive investments. Public funding without liabilities for the recipients</i>	Knut Samset, Gro Holst Volden, Morten Welde and Heidi Bull-Berg
No 41	Transportmodeller på randen. En utforskning av NTM5-modellens anvendelsesområde <i>Transport models and extreme scenarios. A test of the NTM5 model</i>	Christian Steinsland and Lasse Fridstrøm

Report	Title	Author (-s)
No 42	Brukeravgifter i veisektoren <i>User fees in the road sector</i>	Kåre Petter Hagen and Karl Rolf Pedersen
No 43	Norsk vegplanlegging: Hvilke hensyn styrer anbefalingene <i>Road Planning in Norway: What governs the selection of projects?</i>	Arvid Strand, Silvia Olsen, Merethe Dotterud Leiren and Askill Harkjerr Halse
No 44	Ressursbruk i transportsektoren – noen mulige forbedringer <i>Resource allocation in the transport sector – some potential improvements</i>	James Odeck (ed.) and Morten Welde (ed.)
No 45	Kommunale investeringsprosjekter. Prosjektmodeller og krav til beslutningsunderlag. <i>Municipal investment practices in Norway</i>	Morten Welde, Jostein Aksdal and Inger Lise Tyholt Grindvoll
No 46	Styringsregimer for store offentlige prosjekter. En sammenliknende studie av prinsipper og praksis i seks land. <i>Governance schemes for major public investment projects: A comparative study of principles and practices in six countries</i>	Knut F. Samset, Gro Holst Volden, Nils Olsson and Eirik Vårdal Kvalheim
No 47	Governance Schemes for Major Public Investment Projects. A comparative study of principles and practices in six countries.	Knut F. Samset, Gro Holst Volden, Nils Olsson and Eirik Vårdal Kvalheim
No 48	Investeringsprosjekter og miljøkonsekvenser. En antologi med bidrag fra 16 forskere. <i>Environmental Impact of Large Investment Projects. An Anthology by 16 Norwegian Experts.</i>	Kåre P. Hagen and Gro Holst Volden
No 49	Finansiering av vegprosjekter med bompenger. Behandling av og konsekvenser av bompenger i samfunnsøkonomiske analyser. <i>Financing road projects with tolls. The treatment of and consequences of tolls in cost benefit analyses.</i>	Morten Welde, Svein Bråthen, Jens Rekdal and Wei Zhang
No 50	Prosjektmodeller og prosjekteierstyring i statlige virksomheter. <i>Project governance and the use of project models in public agencies and line ministries in Norway.</i>	Bjørn Andersen, Eirik Vårdal Kvalheim and Gro Holst Volden

Report	Title	Author (-s)
No 51	Kostnadskontroll i store statlige investeringer underlagt ordningen med ekstern kvalitetssikring. <i>Cost performance in government investment projects that have been subjected to external quality assurance.</i>	Morten Welde
No 52	Statlige investeringer under lupen. Erfaring med evaluering av de 20 første KS-prosjektene. <i>A Close-up on Public Investment Cases. Lessons from Ex-post Evaluations of 20 Major Norwegian Projects</i>	Gro Holst Volden and Knut Samset
No 53	Fremsynsmetoder <i>Foresight methods</i>	Tore Sager
No 54	Neglected and underestimated impacts of transport investments	Petter Næss, Gro Holst Volden, James Odeck and Tim Richardson
No 55	Kostnadsstyring i entreprisekontrakter <i>Cost performance in construction contracts</i>	Morten Welde, Roy Endre Dahl, Olav Torp and Torbjørn Aass
No 56	Erfaringer fra styring og gjennomføring av store statlige IKT-prosjekter <i>Experiences from governance and implementation of major public ICT projects</i>	Håkon Finne
No 57	Effektivitet og produktivitet i norsk veibyging 2007-2016 <i>Efficiency and productivity in Norwegian road construction 2007-2016</i>	Kenneth Løvold Rødseth, Rasmus Bøgh Holmen, Finn R. Førund and Sverre A.C. Kittelsen
No 58	Mandater for konseptvalgutredninger. En gjennomgang av praksis. <i>The Terms of Reference Document for Conceptual Appraisal. A Review of Current Practice.</i>	Knut Samset and Morten Welde
No 59	Estimering av kostnader i store statlige prosjekter: Hvor gode er estimatene og usikkerhetsanalysene i KS2-rapportene? <i>Estimating costs in large government investment projects. How good are the estimates and uncertainty analyses in the QA2-reports?</i>	Morten Welde, Magne Jørgensen, Per Fridtjof Larsen and Torleif Halkjelsvik

Report	Title	Author (-s)
No 60	Noen krevende tema i anvendte samfunnsøkonomiske analyser. En undersøkelse av praksis i Statens prosjektmodell <i>Salient topics in cost-benefit analyses of major public projects in Norway</i>	Haakon Vennemo, Jens Furuholmen, Orvika Rosnes and Leonid Andreev
No 61	Samspill i bygg- og anleggsbransjen <i>Partnering in construction projects</i>	Svein Bråthen, Maria Laingen, Paul Torgersen and Merethe Kristin Woldseth
No 62	Vegprosjekter, verdiskaping og lokale mål <i>Road projects and local economic impacts</i>	Morten Welde, Eivind Tveter and Anne Gudrun Mork
No. 63	Betydningen av lønnsomhet ved valg av vegtrasé i kommunedelplanprosessen <i>The importance of value for money when choosing a road route in the municipal sub-plan process</i>	Ingri Bukkestein and Ole Henning Nyhus
No. 64	Hvordan lykkes med digitalisering? En undersøkelse av nyttestyring av IT-prosjekter i offentlig sektor <i>How to succeed with digitalization? A study of benefit management in public IT projects</i>	Helene Berg, Kjetil Holgeid, Magne Jørgensen and Gro Holst Volden
No. 65	Styring av prosjektporteføljer i offentlig sektor <i>Management of project portfolios in the public sector</i>	Ingri Bukkestein, Gro Holst Volden and Bjørn Andersen
No. 66	Endringer i beregningsforutsetninger og betydning for samfunnsøkonomisk lønnsomhet i samferdselsprosjekter <i>Changes in cost-benefit analysis assumptions and their impact on net benefits of transport investments</i>	Askill H. Halse, Paal B. Wangsness and Harald Minken
No. 67	Til Dovre faller? En studie av faktisk levetid for veg og jernbane <i>The service life of transport infrastructure: An ex-post analysis of rail and roads</i>	Eivind Tveter, Tore Tomasgard and Maria Laingen

Concept report no. 67

www.ntnu.no/concept/

Forskningsprogrammet Concept skal utvikle kunnskap som sikrer bedre ressursutnyttning og effekt av store, statlige investeringer. Programmet driver følgeforskning knyttet til de største statlige investeringsprosjektene over en rekke år. En skal trekke erfaringer fra disse som kan bedre utformingen og kvalitetssikringen av nye investeringsprosjekter før de settes i gang.

Concept er lokalisert ved Norges teknisk- naturvitenskapelige universitet i Trondheim (NTNU), ved Fakultet for ingeniørvitenskap og teknologi. Programmet samarbeider med ledende norske og internasjonale fagmiljøer og universiteter, og er finansiert av Finansdepartementet.

The Concept research program aims to develop know-how to help make more efficient use of resources and improve the effect of major public investments. The Program is designed to follow up on the largest public projects over a period of several years, and help improve design and quality assurance of future public projects before they are formally approved.

The program is based at The Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Faculty of Engineering Science and Technology. It cooperates with key Norwegian and international professional institutions and universities, and is financed by the Norwegian Ministry of Finance.

Address:

The Concept Research Program
Høgskoleringen 7A
N-7491 NTNU
Trondheim
NORWAY

ISSN: 0803-9763 (paper version)

ISSN: 0804-5585 (web version)

ISBN: 978-82-8433-022-8 (paper version)

ISBN: 978-82-8433-023-5 (web version)

