

Askill H. Halse, Paal B. Wangsness  
and Harald Minken

# Changes in cost-benefit analysis assumptions and their impact on net benefits of transport investments

Concept report no. 66

concept



Askill H. Halse, Paal B. Wangsness  
and Harald Minken

## **Changes in cost-benefit analysis assumptions and their impact on net benefits of transport investments**

Concept report no. 66

Concept report no. 66

## **Changes in cost-benefit analysis assumptions and their impact on net benefits of transport investments**

Askill H. Halse

*Institute of Transport Economics*

Paal B. Wangsness

*Institute of Transport Economics*

Harald Minken

*Institute of Transport Economics*

ISSN: 0803-9763 (paper version)

ISSN: 0804-5585 (web version)

ISBN: 978-82-8433-016-7 (paper version)

ISBN: 978-82-8433-017-4 (web version)

© Concept Research Programme. The publication may be quoted freely with attribution

DATE: December 2021

PUBLISHER: Ex ante academic press

Concept Research Programme

Norwegian University of Science and Technology

7491 NTNU – Trondheim

Norway

[www.ntnu.no/concept](http://www.ntnu.no/concept)

The responsibility for the information in the reports produced on behalf of the Concept Research Programme is on the commissioned party. Views and conclusions are on account of the authors and not necessarily identical to the views of the Concept Research Programme. All contributions are reviewed in a peer review process.

# English Summary

In this report, we review changes over time in key assumptions and parameters in cost-benefit analysis (CBA) of road and railway investments in Norway. We show that these have a large impact on the estimated economic return on the investments. As far as we know, this is the first systematic mapping of CBA assumptions over time, making the study an important contribution to the debate on the use of economic appraisal in the transport sector. We also discuss the scientific foundations for current CBA practice.

Several previous studies have documented low estimated economic return on Norwegian transport projects, both in the case of selected and non-selected projects. Net benefits of projects in the National transport plan (NTP) have decreased over time, except for an increase in net benefits from the NTP 2010-2019 to NTP 2014-2023. Net benefits have on average been negative in most of these plans.

Our mapping covers the six NTPs starting with NTP 2002-2011 and the following assumptions and parameters: Discount rate, cost of public funds, project lifetime, analysis period and residual value, real price adjustment of unit values, unit values of travel time, unit values of traffic fatalities and injuries, and the social cost of carbon emissions.

In Norway, there have been no established routines regarding when unit values and CBA assumptions should be revised and how these should be documented. We have therefore used different sources in combination, like government white papers, reports from government commissions, guidelines from the transport agencies and documentation of the Norwegian Public Roads Administration's CBA tool EFFEKT. Together, these sources provide a good documentation of changes in CBA practice over time.

The review clearly shows that CBA assumptions have changed over time in a way that implies higher estimated net benefits, everything else equal. Both a lower discount rate, a longer period of analysis, new principles for calculating the residual value and real price adjustment of unit values contribute to this. Several of these changes occurred before NTP 2014-2023, based on the recommendations by the Hagen commission in 2012. In NTP 2022-2033, the

assumed lifetime of road investments (except more minor road renewals) has increased from 40 to 75 years, which is also the assumed lifetime of railway investments. Combined with a declining discount rate and real price adjustment of unit values, this implies that impacts that occur in a relatively distant future now account for a large share of the benefits of transport investments.

Unit values of travel time have developed somewhat differently for different user groups, with some large increases from one NTP to the next. Overall, however, the values have increased over time roughly at the same rate as the income level in Norway. The unit values of traffic fatalities and injuries have not increased much, owing to a reduction in the unit value of fatalities in the most recent NTP. The social cost of carbon emissions has increased in the most recent NTP. For most projects, the unit values of travel time will be most important for estimated net benefits, while impacts on fatalities and injuries and on carbon emissions play a more minor role.

To illustrate the impact on estimated economic return, we have conducted a case study of two planned road projects that have recently been subject to economic appraisal: *E39 Storehaugen–Førde* and *Riksreg 19 Moss*. We build on the results from the CBA tool EFFEKT based on current CBA assumptions and show how results changes when we use assumptions and unit values from previous transport plans. *E39 Storehaugen–Førde* has negative estimated net benefits based on current practice, while the alternative that we consider in the *Riksreg 19 Moss* project has positive net benefits.

In these analyses, we treat the impact of changes in unit values of travel time separately. The reason for this is that the increase in these values, which is partly related to an increase in the income level, constitutes a somewhat different type of change than a change in more general assumptions like project lifetime and the discount rate. It is therefore interesting to see how much estimated benefits change before we take changes in values of travel time into account.

As expected, the results show that estimated net benefits are highest (or least negative) when using the CBA assumptions from NTP 2022-2033 and smaller (or more negative) the older assumptions that are used. For *E39 Storehaugen–Førde*, the benefits to travellers, transport operators and third parties ('gross benefits') increase by on average 34 percent between one NTP and the next during the period, or 332 percent in total. For *Riksreg 19 Moss*, the average

increase is 29 percent, and the total increase is 253 percent. Accounting for higher unit values of travel time further amplifies the increase.

The assumptions have partly changed at different points in time, which allows us to draw some conclusions regarding their relative importance. The reduction in the discount rate from NTP 2006-2015 to NTP 2010-2019 resulted in a considerable increase in net benefits. A somewhat larger increase occurred in NTP 2014-2023, mainly due to a longer analysis period and the introduction of real price adjustment of unit values. A longer assumed lifetime of road projects in NTP 2022-2033 implies another considerable increase, in the same order of magnitude as the increase from NTP 2006-2015 to NTP 2010-2019. Here, a lower rate of real price adjustment partly pulls in the negative direction.

Despite more favorable CBA assumption in more recent years, many of the projects still have negative net benefits, as have many other projects in the NTP. If the assumptions had not been changed, net benefits would have been even more negative.

The scientific foundations for the assumption of a 75-year lifetime for both road and railway projects in the most recent NTP are limited, and uncertainty is high. In addition, one assumes that user benefits increase throughout this 75-year period, although decreasing rates of traffic growth and real price adjustment are used. Combined with a decreasing discount rate, this implies putting a high weight on relatively uncertain benefits in a relatively distant future. We recommend instead to calculate the residual value based on net benefits that decrease towards zero at the end of the project lifetime. One should also consider reintroducing a differentiated risk premium in the discount rate.

Also, we point towards some potential improvements and knowledge gaps related to the cost of public funds, real price adjustment, unit values of travel time and unit values of fatalities and injuries. There is a need for more knowledge on how estimated values of travel time depend on the choice of method, to what extent they are consistent with observed behavior and their relationship with travel distance.

In our opinion, our findings point towards a knowledge gap regarding the economic lifetime of transport investment. We recommend conducting empirical studies of this issue based on existing projects. There is a need for

more knowledge both on the duration of different infrastructure projects' lifetime and on the development of costs and benefits throughout the lifetime.

We also recommend establishing clearer routines for how CBA assumptions and unit values are to be revised and documented. One alternative would be a permanent board of experts that is responsible for this, similar to the ASEK committee in Sweden. We also recommend improving the government web pages that document the planning process behind the NTP and that CBA results are made more accessible and transparent.

## Concept report series

Paper version: ISSN 0803-9763

Web version: ISSN 0804-5585

Norwegian version: <https://www.ntnu.no/concept/concept-rapportserie>

English version: <https://www.ntnu.edu/concept/concept-report-series>

Report	Title	Author (-s)
No 1	Styring av prosjektportføljer i staten. Usikkerhetsavsetning på porteføljenivå  <i>Project Portfolio Management. Estimating Provisions for Uncertainty at Portfolio Level.</i>	Stein Berntsen and Thorleif Sunde
No 2	Statlig styring av prosjektleidelse. Empiri og økonomiske prinsipper.  <i>Economic Incentives in Public Project Management</i>	Dag Morten Dalen, Ola Lædre and Christian Riis
No 3	Beslutningsunderlag og beslutninger i store statlige investeringsprosjekt  <i>Decisions and the Basis for Decisions in Major Public Investment Projects</i>	Stein V. Larsen, Eilif Holte and Sverre Haanæs
No 4	Konseptutvikling og evaluering i store statlige investeringsprosjekt  <i>Concept Development and Evaluation in Major Public Investment Projects</i>	Hege Gry Solheim, Erik Dammen, Håvard O. Skaldebø, Eystein Myking, Elisabeth K. Svendsen and Paul Torgersen
No 5	Bedre behovsanalyser. Erfaringer og anbefalinger om behovsanalyser i store offentlige investeringsprosjekt  <i>Needs Analysis in Major Public Investment Projects. Lessons and Recommendations</i>	Petter Næss
No 6	Målformulering i store statlige investeringsprosjekt  <i>Alignment of Objectives in Major Public Investment Projects</i>	Ole Jonny Klakegg
No 7	Hvordan tror vi at det blir? Effektvurderinger av store offentlige prosjekter  <i>Up-front Conjecture of Anticipated Effects of Major Public Investment Projects</i>	Nils Olsson
No 8	Realopsjoner og fleksibilitet i store offentlige investeringsprosjekt  <i>Real Options and Flexibility in Major Public Investment Projects</i>	Kjell Arne Brekke

No 9	Bedre utforming av store offentlige investeringsprosjekter. Vurdering av behov, mål og effekt i tidligfasen  <i>Improved Design of Public Investment Projects. Up-front Appraisal of Needs, Objectives and Effects</i>	Petter Næss med bidrag fra Kjell Arne Brekke, Nils Olsson and Ole Jonny Klakegg
No 10	Usikkerhetsanalyse – Kontekst og grunnlag  <i>Uncertainty Analysis – Context and Foundations</i>	Kjell Austeng, Olav Torp, Jon Terje Midtbø, Ingemund Jordanger, and Ole M Magnussen
No 11	Usikkerhetsanalyse – Modellering, estimering og beregning  <i>Uncertainty Analysis – Modeling, Estimation and Calculation</i>	Frode Drevland, Kjell Austeng and Olav Torp
No 12	Metoder for usikkerhetsanalyse  <i>Uncertainty Analysis – Methodology</i>	Kjell Austeng, Jon Terje Midtbø, Vidar Helland, Olav Torp and Ingemund Jordanger
No 13	Usikkerhetsanalyse – Feilkilder i metode og beregning  <i>Uncertainty Analysis – Methodological Errors in Data and Analysis</i>	Kjell Austeng, Vibeke Binz and Frode Drevland
No 14	Positiv usikkerhet og økt verdiskaping  <i>Positive Uncertainty and Increasing Return on Investments</i>	Ingemund Jordanger
No 15	Kostnadsusikkerhet i store statlige investeringsprosjekter; Empiriske studier basert på KS2  <i>Cost Uncertainty in Large Public Investment Projects. Empirical Studies</i>	Olav Torp (red.), Ole M Magnussen, Nils Olsson and Ole Jonny Klakegg
No 16	Kontrahering i prosjektets tidligfase. Forsvarets anskaffelser.  <i>Procurement in a Project's Early Phases. Defense Aquisitions</i>	Erik N. Warberg
No 17	Beslutninger på svakt informasjonsgrunnlag. Tilnærmingar og utfordringer i prosjekters tidlige fase  <i>Decisions Based on Scant Information. Challenges and Tools During the Front-end Phases of Projects</i>	Kjell Sunnevåg (red.)

No 18	Flermålsanalyser i store statlige investeringsprosjekt  <i>Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis In Major Public Investment Projects</i>	Ingemund Jordanger, Stein Malerud, Harald Minken and Arvid Strand
No 19	Effektvurdering av store statlige investeringsprosjekter  <i>Impact Assessment of Major Public Investment Projects</i>	Bjørn Andersen, Svein Bråthen, Tom Fagerhaug, Ola Nafstad, Petter Næss and Nils Olsson
No 20	Investorers vurdering av prosjekters godhet  <i>Investors' Appraisal of Project Feasibility</i>	Nils Olsson, Stein Frydenberg, Erik W. Jakobsen, Svein Jessen, Roger Sørheim og Lillian Waagø
No 21	Logisk minimalisme, rasjonalitet - og de avgjørende valg  <i>Major Projects: Logical Minimalism, Rationality and Grand Choices</i>	Knut Samset, Arvid Strand and Vincent F. Hendricks
No 22	Miljøøkonomi og samfunnsøkonomisk lønnsomhet  <i>Environmental Economics and Economic Viability</i>	Kåre P. Hagen
No 23	The Norwegian Front-End Governance Regime of Major Public Projects – A Theoretically Based Analysis and Evaluation	Tom Christensen
No 24	Markedsorienterte styringsmetoder i miljøpolitikken  <i>Market oriented approaches to environmental policy</i>	Kåre P. Hagen
No 25	Regime for planlegging og beslutning i sykehusprosjekter  <i>Planning and Decision Making in Hospital Projects. Lessons with the Norwegian Governance Scheme.</i>	Asmund Myrbostad, Tarald Rohde, Pål Martinussen and Marte Lauvsnes
No 26	Politisk styring, lokal rasjonalitet og komplekse koalisjoner. Tidligfaseprosessen i store offentlige investeringsprosjekter  <i>Political Control, Local Rationality and Complex Coalitions. Focus on the Front-End of Large Public Investment Projects</i>	Erik Whist and Tom Christensen
No 27	Verdsetting av fremtiden. Tidshorisont og diskonteringsrenter	Kåre P. Hagen

<i>Valuing the future. Time Horizon and Discount Rates</i>		
No 28	Fjorden, byen og operaen. En evaluering av Bjørvikautbyggingen i et beslutningsteoretisk perspektiv <i>The Fjord, the City and the Opera. An Evaluation of Bjørvika Urban Development</i>	Erik Whist and Tom Christensen
No 29	Levedyktighet og investeringstiltak. Erfaringer fra kvalitetssikring av statlige investeringsprosjekter  <i>Sustainability and Public Investments. Lessons from Major Public Investment Projects</i>	Ola Lædre, Gro Holst Volden and Tore Haavaldsen
No 30	Etterevaluering av statlige investeringsprosjekter. Konklusjoner, erfaringer og råd basert på pilotevaluering av fire prosjekter  <i>Evaluating Public Investment Projects. Lessons and Advice from a Meta-Evaluation of Four Projects</i>	Gro Holst Volden and Knut Samset
No 31	Store statlige investeringers betydning for konkurranse- og markedsutviklingen. Håndtering av konkurransemessige problemstillinger i utredningsfasen  <i>Major Public Investments' Impact on Competition. How to Deal with Competition Issues as Part of the Project Appraisal</i>	Asbjørn Englund, Harald Bergh, Aleksander Møll and Ove Skaug Halsos
No 32	Analyse av systematisk usikkerhet i norsk økonomi.  <i>Analysis of Systematic Uncertainty in the Norwegian Economy.</i>	Haakon Vennemo, Michael Hoel and Henning Wahlquist
No 33	Planprosesser, beregningsverktøy og bruk av nytte-kostnadsanalyser i vegsektoren. En sammenlikning av praksis i Norge og Sverige.  <i>Planning, Analytic Tools and the Use of Cost-Benefit Analysis in the Transport Sector in Norway and Sweden.</i>	Morten Welde, Jonas Eliasson, James Odeck and Maria Börjesson
No 34	Mulighetsrommet. En studie om konseptutredninger og konseptvalg  <i>The Opportunity Space. A Study of Conceptual Appraisals and the Choice of Conceptual Solutions.</i>	Knut Samset, Bjørn Andersen and Kjell Austeng
No 35	Statens prosjektmodell. Bedre kostnadsstyring. Erfaringer med de første	Knut Samset and Gro Holst Volden

	investeringstiltakene som har vært gjennom ekstern kvalitetssikring	
No 36	Investing for Impact. Lessons with the Norwegian State Project Model and the First Investment Projects that Have Been Subjected to External Quality Assurance	Knut Samset and Gro Holst Volden
No 37	Bruk av karbonpriser i praktiske samfunnsøkonomiske analyser. En oversikt over praksis fra analyser av statlige investeringsprosjekter under KVU-/KS1-ordningen.	Gro Holst Volden
	<i>Use of Carbon Prices in Cost-Benefit Analysis. Practices in Project Appraisals of Major Public Investment Projects under the Norwegian State Project Model</i>	
No 38	Ikke-prissatte virkninger i samfunnsøkonomisk analyse. Praksis og erfaringer i statlige investeringsprosjekter	Heidi Bull-Berg, Gro Holst Volden and Inger Lise Tyholt Grindvoll
	<i>Non-Monetized Impacts in Economic Analysis. Practice and Lessons from Public Investment Projects</i>	
No 39	Lav prising – store valg. En studie av underestimering av kostnader i prosjekters tidligfase	Morten Welde, Knut Samset, Bjørn Andersen and Kjell Austeng
	<i>Low estimates – high stakes. A study of underestimation of costs in projects' earliest phase</i>	
No 40	Mot sin hensikt. Perverse incentiver – om offentlige investerings-prosjekter som ikke forplikter	Knut Samset, Gro Holst Volden, Morten Welde and Heidi Bull-Berg
	<i>Perverse incentives and counterproductive investments. Public funding without liabilities for the recipients</i>	
No 41	Transportmodeller på randen. En utforskning av NTM5-modellens anvendelsesområde	Christian Steinsland and Lasse Fridstrøm
	<i>Transport models and extreme scenarios. A test of the NTM5 model</i>	
No 42	Brukeravgifter i veisektoren	Kåre Petter Hagen and Karl Rolf Pedersen
	<i>User fees in the road sector</i>	
No 43	Norsk vegplanlegging: Hvilke hensyn styrer anbefalingene	Arvid Strand, Silvia Olsen, Merethe Dotterud Leiren and Askill Harkjerr Halse
	<i>Road Planning in Norway: What governs the selection of projects?</i>	

No 44	Ressursbruk i transportsektoren – noen mulige forbedringer  <i>Resource allocation in the transport sector – some potential improvements</i>	James Odeck (ed.) and Morten Welde (ed.)
No 45	Kommunale investeringsprosjekter. Prosjektmodeller og krav til beslutningsunderlag.  <i>Municipal investment practices in Norway</i>	Morten Welde, Jostein Aksdal and Inger Lise Tyholt Grindvoll
No 46	Styringsregimer for store offentlige prosjekter. En sammenliknende studie av prinsipper og praksis i seks land.  <i>Governance schemes for major public investment projects: A comparative study of principles and practices in six countries</i>	Knut F. Samset, Gro Holst Volden, Nils Olsson and Eirik Vårdal Kvalheim
No 47	Governance Schemes for Major Public Investment Projects. A comparative study of principles and practices in six countries.	Knut F. Samset, Gro Holst Volden, Nils Olsson and Eirik Vårdal Kvalheim
No 48	Investeringsprosjekter og miljøkonsekvenser. En antologi med bidrag fra 16 forskere.  <i>Environmental Impact of Large Investment Projects. An Anthology by 16 Norwegian Experts.</i>	Kåre P. Hagen and Gro Holst Volden
No 49	Finansiering av vegprosjekter med bompenge. Behandling av og konsekvenser av bompenge i samfunnsøkonomiske analyser.  <i>Financing road projects with tolls. The treatment of and consequences of tolls in cost benefit analyses.</i>	Morten Welde, Svein Bråthen, Jens Rekdal and Wei Zhang
No 50	Prosjektmodeller og prosjekteierstyring i statlige virksomheter.  <i>Project governance and the use of project models in public agencies and line ministries in Norway.</i>	Bjørn Andersen, Eirik Vårdal Kvalheim and Gro Holst Volden
No 51	Kostnadskontroll i store statlige investeringer underlagt ordningen med ekstern kvalitetssikring.  <i>Cost performance in government investment projects that have been subjected to external quality assurance.</i>	Morten Welde
No 52	Statlige investeringer under lupen. Erfaring med evaluering av de 20 første KS-prosjektene.	Gro Holst Volden and Knut Samset

*A Close-up on Public Investment Cases.  
Lessons from Ex-post Evaluations of 20  
Major Norwegian Projects*

No 53	Fremsynsmetoder <i>Foresight methods</i>	Tore Sager
No 54	Neglected and underestimated impacts of transport investments	Petter Næss, Gro Holst Volden, James Odeck and Tim Richardson
No 55	Kostnadsstyring i entreprisekontrakter <i>Cost performance in construction contracts</i>	Morten Welde, Roy Endre Dahl, Olav Torp and Torbjørn Aass
No 56	Erfaringer fra styring og gjennomføring av store statlige IKT-prosjekter <i>Experiences from governance and implementation of major public ICT projects</i>	Håkon Finne
No 57	Effektivitet og produktivitet i norsk veibygging 2007-2016 <i>Efficiency and productivity in Norwegian road construction 2007-2016</i>	Kenneth Løvold Rødseth, Rasmus Bøgh Holmen, Finn R. Førsund and Sverre A.C. Kittelsen
No 58	Mandater for konseptvalgutredninger. En gjennomgang av praksis. <i>The Terms of Reference Document for Conceptual Appraisal. A Review of Current Practice.</i>	Knut Samset and Morten Welde
No 59	Estimering av kostnader i store statlige prosjekter: Hvor gode er estimatene og usikkerhetsanalysene i KS2-rapportene? <i>Estimating costs in large government investment projects. How good are the estimates and uncertainty analyses in the QA2-reports?</i>	Morten Welde, Magne Jørgensen, Per Fridtjof Larsen and Torleif Halkjelsvik
No 60	Noen krevende tema i anvendte samfunnsøkonomiske analyser. En undersøkelse av praksis i Statens prosjektmodell <i>Salient topics in cost-benefit analyses of major public projects in Norway</i>	Haakon Vennemo, Jens Furuholmen, Orvika Rosnes and Leonid Andreev
No 61	Samspill i bygg- og anleggsbransjen <i>Partnering in construction projects</i>	Svein Bråthen, Maria Laingen, Paul Torgersen and Merethe Kristin Woldseth

No 62	Vegprosjekter, verdiskaping og lokale mål <i>Road projects and local economic impacts</i>	Morten Welde, Eivind Tveter and Anne Gudrun Mork
No. 63	Betydningen av lønnsomhet ved valg av vegtrasé i kommunedelplanprosessen <i>The importance of value for money when choosing a road route in the municipal sub-plan process</i>	Ingri Bukkestein and Ole Henning Nyhus
No. 64	Hvordan lykkes med digitalisering? En undersøkelse av nyttestyring av IT-prosjekter i offentlig sektor <i>How to succeed with digitalization? A study of benefit management in public IT projects</i>	Helene Berg, Kjetil Holgeid, Magne Jørgensen and Gro Holst Volden
No. 65	Styring av prosjektportføljer i offentlig sektor <i>Management of project portfolios in the public sector</i>	Ingri Bukkestein, Gro Holst Volden and Bjørn Andersen
No. 66	Endringer i beregningsforutsetninger og betydning for samfunnsøkonomisk lønnsomhet i samferdselsprosjekter <i>Changes in cost-benefit analysis assumptions and their impact on net benefits of transport investments</i>	Askill H. Halse, Paal B. Wangsness and Harald Minken

# Concept report no. 66

[www.ntnu.no/concept/](http://www.ntnu.no/concept/)

Forskningsprogrammet Concept skal utvikle kunnskap som sikrer bedre ressursutnytting og effekt av store, statlige investeringer. Programmet driver følgeforskning knyttet til de største statlige investeringsprosjektene over en rekke år. En skal trekke erfaringer fra disse som kan bedre utformingen og kvalitetssikringen av nye investeringsprosjekter før de settes i gang.

Concept er lokalisert ved Norges teknisk-naturvitenskapelige universitet i Trondheim (NTNU), ved Fakultet for ingeniørvitenskap og teknologi. Programmet samarbeider med ledende norske og internasjonale fagmiljøer og universiteter, og er finansiert av Finansdepartementet.

*The Concept research program aims to develop know-how to help make more efficient use of resources and improve the effect of major public investments. The Program is designed to follow up on the largest public projects over a period of several years, and help improve design and quality assurance of future public projects before they are formally approved.*

*The program is based at The Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Faculty of Engineering Science and Technology. It cooperates with key Norwegian and international professional institutions and universities, and is financed by the Norwegian Ministry of Finance.*

## **Address:**

The Concept Research Program  
Høgskoleringen 7A  
N-7491 NTNU  
Trondheim  
NORWAY

ISSN: 0803-9763 (paper version)  
ISSN: 0804-5588 (web version)  
ISBN: 978-82-8433-016-7 (paper version)  
ISBN: 978-82-8433-017-4 (web version)

