

SENSURVEILEDNING

Emnekode og navn: PSY2018/PSYPRO4318	Semester / År / Eksamenstype: H-2022/Skriftlig hjemmeeksamen, 4 timer
Besvar tre (3) av de fem oppgavene nedenfor	
Oppgave 1: What is the purpose of triangulation in qualitative research? Explain different types of triangulation.	
Oppgave 2: Describe the phases of the analytic process in thematic analysis.	
Oppgave 3: Explain why conducting focus groups is more beneficial than individual interviews when doing research with marginalized groups, sensitive topics and taboo topics.	
Oppgave 4: You are analyzing an interview using Discursive Psychology? What should you analyze, only your interviewee's contributions (e.g., answers) or the contributions resulting from the interaction between the interviewer (e.g., questions) and interviewee (e.g., answers)? Explain why.	
Oppgave 5: What are the steps we should consider when doing ethnographic research in psychology?	
Relevant pensumlitteratur:	
Oppgave 1: Creswell, J.W. & Miller, D.L. (2000). Determining validity in qualitative Inquiry. <i>Theory Into Practice</i> , 39 (3), 124-130.	
Oppgave 2: Smith, J. A. (ed.) (2015). <i>Qualitative Psychology. A practical guide to research methods</i> . 3rd edition. London: Sage. Chapter 10. (Thematic Analysis, Clark, Braun & Hayfield). Braun V. & Clarke, V. (2006.) Using thematic analysis in psychology. <i>Qualitative Research in Psychology</i> , 3, 77-101.	
Oppgave 3: Onwuegbuzie, A. J., Dickinson, W. B., Leech, N. L., & Zoran, A. G. (2009). A qualitative framework for collecting and analyzing data in focus group research. <i>International Journal of Qualitative Methods</i> , 8 (3), 1–21.	
Oppgave 4: Potter, J. & Edwards, D. (2012). Conversation analysis and psychology. In J. Sidnell & T. Stivers (Eds.), <i>The handbook of conversation analysis</i> (pp. 701-725). Wiley-Blackwell.	

Wiggins, S. (2017). Discursive psychology. SAGE Publications Ltd.

Oppgave 5:

Howitt (2010). Ethnography and participant observation. *Introduction to qualitative Methods in psychology*. (Chapter 5).

Eksamenskrav:

Oppgave 1:

Triangulation provides corroborating evidence collected through multiple methods. Common procedures to achieve triangulation include observations, interviews, and documents to locate major and minor themes in the dataset. Checking notes and memos produced during data collection is another method used to accomplish triangulation. Triangulation means that researchers go through this process and rely on multiple forms of evidence rather than a single incident or data point in the study. As a validity procedure, triangulation is a step taken by researchers employing only the researcher's lens, and it is a systematic process of sorting through the data to find common themes or categories by eliminating overlapping areas.

Oppgave 2:

Six-phase analytic process: 1) Familiarizing with the data; 2) Generating codes; 3) Constructing themes; 4) Reviewing potential themes; 5) Defining and naming themes; 6) Producing the report.

1. Familiarizing with the data

Familiarization provides the researcher with an entry point into analysis. Way of engaging with, and gaining insight into, what can sometimes appear to be an overwhelming mass of data.

Familiarization is about knowing the dataset. Reading and re-reading all textual data, making casual observational notes. It might involve (re)listening or (re)watching, if the dataset is audio or video.

2. Generating codes

Coding is the systematic and thorough creation of meaningful labels attached to specific segments of the dataset – segments that have meanings relevant to the research question. Identifying these relevant data within each data item, and then 'tagging' them with a few words or a phrase that captures the meaning of that data segment to the researcher. Codes vary in what they capture or highlight, from the semantic obvious meaning to more conceptual ideas. Good coding is open and inclusive, identifying and labelling all segments of interest and relevance within the dataset, and everything that is of relevance within those segments. Sometimes a data segment might be tagged with more than one code; other segments might not be coded at all, as they have no relevance to the research question. There is no need to code every line of data. Codes generated need to be meaningful to the researcher, capturing their interpretations of the data, in relation to their research question- Coding is a process of data reduction, and a way of starting to organize the data and researcher observations of it into patterns.

3. Constructing themes

Very active process of pattern formation and identification. The research question helps keep the analysis relevant. Theme development involves examining codes, and combining, clustering or collapsing codes together into bigger or more meaningful patterns. Thinking and effort are required to identify features of similarity and relationship across codes. The researcher needs to identify a central organizing concept that is shared across the range of codes. This helps the researcher determine what a theme is about, and whether or not any particular code fits within it

Visual mapping tools may enhance the researcher's ability to identify and understand potential themes in relation to each other, and the overall dataset.

4. Reviewing potential themes

Quality control exercise to ensure that the themes work well in relation to the coded data, the dataset, and the research question. It may lead to adjustments to the candidate themes and/or thematic map, or even considerable further analytic work. The first stage of review involves checking whether your candidate themes capture the meaning in the collated, coded data segments

Check that their candidate themes work well across the whole dataset – so going back to the entire dataset. Reach balance between making sure that themes are distinct from each other, and ensuring that they relate to each other. If themes are distinctive, most of the codes will only be allocated to one theme. If many are allocated to more than one theme, they risk blurriness. Reviewing analysis involves making choices about the best and sharpest boundaries for inclusion and exclusion.

5. Defining and naming themes

The researcher should have started to move away from thinking about themes to an interpretative orientation. This involves telling a story that is based on, and about, the data, that makes sense of the patterning and diversity of meaning. Theme definitions are short summaries of the core idea and meaning of each theme. Give themes working titles and keep them until this final stage.

6. Producing the report

Final period of focus and refinement. Researchers weave together data, analysis, and connections to the literature into a singular output that answers their research question(s).

Oppgave 3:

Conducting focus groups is more beneficial than individual interviews when doing research with marginalized groups, sensitive topics and taboo topics. Reasons:

- They are widely used to examine people's experiences of disease and of health services.
- Effective technique for exploring the attitudes and needs of staff.
- Sense of belonging to a group can increase the participants' sense of cohesiveness and help them to feel safe to share information.
- Interactions among the participants can create the possibility for more spontaneous responses.
- Provide a setting where the participants can discuss personal problems and provide possible solutions.
- More appropriate with emotionally charged topics that generate high levels of participant involvement.
- They give each participant more time to discuss her or his views and experiences on topics in which they all are highly involved.
- Advantages for researchers in the field of health and medicine.
- They do not discriminate against people who cannot read or write.
- They can encourage participation from people reluctant to be interviewed on their own or who feel they have nothing to say.
- Examine not only what people think but how they think and why they think that way
- Encourage participants to explore the issues of importance to them, in their own vocabulary, generating their own questions and pursuing their own priorities
- Group work can actively facilitate the discussion of taboo topics.
- Participants can also provide mutual support in expressing feelings that are common to their - group but which they consider to deviate from mainstream culture (or the assumed culture of the researcher). This is particularly important when researching stigmatized or taboo experiences (for example, sexual behaviors, drug use, violence).
- Focus groups considered as a basis for empowering "clients" or as a tool in action and participatory research.

Oppgave 4:

I should analyze both the contributions made by the interviewee (e.g., answers) and the interviewer (e.g., questions). Discursive psychology requires that as much attention is paid to the interviewer's contribution to the conversation as to the interviewee. This is because the interviewer's questions and comments constitute the discursive context within which the interviewee's contributions are made and to which they will inevitably orient themselves. Discursive psychology considers the interaction as the primary site where psychological issues take place.

Oppgave 5:

Steps to consider in ethnographic research: Formulating research question; Is ethnography an appropriate method?; What is to be addressed in the observation; Define the role of the researcher; Entry to the fieldwork; Maintain access to the field; Field notes and/or data logging information; How to sample for the study; When to stop fieldwork; Exit strategy for disengagement.

Formulating research question: In classic ethnographic work, researchers do not have a focused research question in mind. The researcher will start re-formulate or develop ideas during the course of the observation. The research question has to be addressed by the observation. The planning process is begun but not completed before the researcher enters the field. Important to avoid becoming so fixated on a previously prepared and detailed research design.

Is ethnography an appropriate method? Question whether a particular area of interest lends itself to ethnography. Think about ethical committees at this point. Ethical risk of covert observation. Rethink of approach will be necessary when we put informants are risk.

What is to be addressed in the observation. Define what is to be addressed in the observation process. Clear definition of the research question is important for the identification of the situation to be studied. Degree of selectivity in what is observed. One cannot be totally sure what be relevant in types of situations with which one has little or no familiarity.

Define the role of the researcher: Define a viable role which permits the researcher to participate in a setting or to be sufficiently at its periphery to enable the observation to take place. Things to consider: characteristics of the researcher and public field settings with lack of structure are probably the easier to participate in (e.g., music concerts).

Entry to the fieldwork: Formal organization requires formal request for entry to carry out research. Informal settings may be more flexible but there might still be gatekeepers who may facilitate the entry of the participant observer into the group. Permissions from research committees are also important to enter organizations. Research in hospitals requires ethical clearance.

Maintain access to the field: Ethnography involves maintaining relations with the group studied and not just the entry process to the research location. Skills are needed in terms of interpersonal relations since those being studied may have concerns. Provide written information about issues such as data confidentiality, data security, anonymity of individuals, etc. Specifically tailored to the particular research situation.

Use key informants: The key informant may play a more central role in most aspects of the group's activities than others; may have an interest in the research which is greater than that of the others; may have special rapport with the researcher. Key informants can play a role in smoothing out the research process and may act as a source of social support at difficult times.

Field notes and/or data logging information: Help the researcher familiarize with the social context of the research setting including the people and the interrelations between the two. Good field notes will contain information to build up a picture of social relationships and better understanding of what happens in the group. Memory will affect the quality of the fieldnotes if there is too much delay. Helpful to make some notes immediately – voice recording, or handwritten or computer written notes. Plan the period of observation so there is enough time for note-making.

How to sample for the study: Important to seek out situations and individuals who have the most to contribute to develop this understanding. The ethnographer would be looking for these individuals actively by obtaining information from informants.

When to stop fieldwork: Additional data collection produces nothing additional relevant to the concepts, ideas and theories which are guiding the research. The researcher has established a pattern of strong relationships between her analytic categories. Additional data collection is doing nothing to encourage a reassessment of the characteristics of that analytic category. New entries in one's field notes or recorded observations seem very familiar in terms of what was written in earlier field notes.

Exit strategy for disengagement: The research process may have come to an end possibly because the fieldwork is complete or because the research has run out of time or funds. There is little established protocol but a clear need for sensitive actions and clear decisions about the process of separation of the researcher from the research site.

Karakterbeskrivelse:

Betegnelse	Generell kvalitativ beskrivelse av vurderingskriterier	Fagspesifikk beskrivelse med relevans for vurdering av besvarelser/arbeider med bestått/ikke bestått
Bestått	<p>Kunnskapsmengde (teoretisk/empirisk)</p> <p>Innsikt (oversikt/forståelse)</p> <p>Fremstilling (struktur/begrepsapparat)</p> <p>Bruk (selvstendighet/originalitet)</p>	<p>Besvarelsen/arbeidet reflekterer tilstrekkelig relevant kunnskapsmengde og oversikt/forståelse av fagområdet.</p> <p>Besvarelsen/arbeidet er strukturert og har et noenlunde konsist begrepsapparat.</p> <p>Besvarelsen/arbeidet dokumenterer en viss grad av selvstendighet og evne til å trekke egne konklusjoner.</p>
Ikke bestått	<p>Mangler vesentlige kunnskaper.</p> <p>Utilfredsstillende fremstilling med klare feil og mangler</p>	<p>Besvarelsen/arbeidet reflekterer dårlig generell kunnskap og/eller faller på siden av oppgaven. Fremstillingen er ustrukturert, mangler oversikt over fagområdet og inneholder mange direkte feil.</p>

Faglærer / oppgavegiver:

Navn: Lucas Bietti

Sted / dato: Trondheim, 27.10.2022