Morten Welde Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Jostein Aksdal Stord/Haugesund University College

Inger Lise Tyholt Grindvoll SINTEF

Municipal investment practices in Norway English summary

Concept report No 45





Morten Welde Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Jostein Aksdal Stord/Haugesund University College

Inger Lise Tyholt Grindvoll SINTEF



Concept report No 45



Concept report no. 45

Municipal investment practices in Norway

English summary

Morten Welde Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Jostein Aksdal Stord/Haugesund University College

Inger Lise Tyholt Grindvoll SINTEF

ISSN: 0803-9763 (paper version) ISSN: 0804-5585 (web version) ISBN: 978-82-93253-43-3 (paper version) ISBN: 978-82-93253-44-0 (web version)

SUMMARY: This is a study of investment practices in the 10 largest municipalities in Norway. Nine of these have recently established governance schemes that regulate the selection and front-end planning of investment projects. Nonetheless, the study suggests that investment practices in municipalities regardless of size needs further attention, and that the possibility of common guidelines is explored.

DATE: October 2015

Ex ante Academic Publisher Concept Research Program Norwegian University of Science and Technology 7491 NTNU – Trondheim Norway www.ntnu.no/concept/

Responsibility for the information in the reports produced on behalf of the Concept Research Program is on the commissioned party. Views and conclusions is on account of the authors and not necessarily identical to the views of the Concept Research Program. All contributions are reviewed in a peer review process.

Municipal investment practices in Norway

This is a study of municipal investment practices. We study five municipal investment projects in Norway that have had varying degrees of success. We also examine the extent to which project models are in use in the 10 largest municipalities in the country. Our focus is on the project owners' perspective and on how projects are used as instruments for achieving long-term, strategic objectives. This implies the need to choose the right concept, to implement it efficiently, and to achieve viable long-term effects.

A project model is a standard classification of project phases from the idea phase, through planning and implementation, to operation – often defined as the front-end phase of projects. The model defines roles, requirements for decision-making, and the decision points between the different phases. In addition, a project model can help to ensure that scarce resources are used for investments that meet specific needs and support important goals locally and nationally, and that projects are implemented in a cost-effective manner.

After a series of cost overruns, delays and inappropriate choice of concept in major Norwegian public investment projects, a scheme with mandatory external quality assurance of cost (QA2) was introduced in the year 2000. Later, the scheme was expanded to include quality assurance of the choice of conceptual solution (QA1). What eventually has become known as the Norwegian State Project Model or the 'QA scheme' implies that there are general requirements for the documentation that must be provided in the front-end development of projects. Through the Norwegian State Project Model, public projects have been scrutinized to the extent that project selection and cost estimation have ultimately been improved.

There are several reasons why project governance within the framework of a project model is an important issue in the municipal sector, at least in Norway. The first and perhaps most important reason is that municipalities are Norway's main providers of welfare services and it is thus important that they have the necessary competence and adequate resources to provide high quality services. Municipal projects that do not meet the public's needs or that put a strain on municipal finances to the extent that services in general suffer may have greater negative effects than some state projects, which may not have direct consequences for the citizens. An unsuccessful municipal project can have direct consequences for individual citizens through poorer services or higher local taxes and fees. The scope of annual investments in the municipal sector is significant – currently more than NOK 50 billion. It is therefore

highly important that community resources are managed in the most appropriate manner. In this regard, experiences relating to state projects may be relevant.

The appraisal and implementation of municipal investment projects can be a challenging task. Municipalities in Norway are basically organized as service providers. The scope of investments in each municipality may be low and varied, and project skills may be limited. By contrast, some investments may be so large that it can take decades between each time that they are implementation in a municipality. Furthermore, although the combined project skills in the municipal sector are considerable, they are spread among many municipalities and the basis for the transfer of experience may be limited.

With 19 counties incorporating 428 municipalities, a study of project models in Norway's municipal sector would be a formidable task. We have therefore chosen to focus on the 10 largest municipalities. These represent one-third (currently 1.7 million inhabitants) of the country's population and account for about one-third of the total annual investments in the municipal sector.

The municipal sector's planning system provides a good basis for selecting and implementing investments. As an example, many municipalities have school plans that are updated regularly. These plans draw up the long-term school structure in each municipality based on demographic changes; identify the need for new construction, rehabilitation or closure. In addition to the social plan and land use plan within its framework, the planning system should provide a strategic framework within which investments can be made.

The most significant finding from this study is that there is a growing recognition of the need for adequate studies of the projects' front-end phase and political support for these phases. Of Norway's 10 largest municipalities, 9 have established 'investment regulations' – the term most often used for project models in the municipal sector; the remaining municipality, Bergen, is set to introduce one shortly. The reasons behind these regulations are the growing recognition of the importance of projects' front-end among the project profession in general, the development and impact of the state's project model since the millennium, and that there have been some unfortunate municipal investment projects with large cost overruns.

The content of the municipal project models varies. Most municipalities apply the models to the biggest investment projects, but the models may also cover small projects, and large projects may be exempt from applying models if they are characterized as 'repetitive' and therefore the former basis for planning and implementation can be reused. Buildings account for 40–50% of municipal investments. This percentage is reflected in the various project models, in which construction and property management are included in all 10 of the

studied municipalities. Other sectors, such as water and sewage, are included more sporadically.

We have also studied five municipal investment projects that had varying degrees of success and that were implemented in municipalities without investment regulations. Four of them have in common that the needs assessment was flawed, the opportunity space was too narrowly defined, and the goals were few or absent. A general observation is that neither economic analyses nor uncertainty-based cost estimation seem to have had much impact. The studied projects show that the consequences of inadequate appraisal in the front-end can have negative consequences for project results. Investment regulations or project models will be able to weed out projects that should not be realized. Alternatively, it may be possible to identify concepts that would be more appropriate for solving a municipality's needs. It is interesting to observe that the municipalities that experienced major challenges in project selection and execution subsequently introduced systems to improve their grounds for decision-making in future projects.

We conclude that Norwegian municipalities have recently made efforts to improve project governance. Nonetheless, our recommendation is that investment practices in municipalities should be subject to greater attention, and that the possibility of common guidelines should be examined. It is probably not appropriate for all municipalities to establish project models with different terminology and concepts. A common project model that applies to the whole municipal sector would have several advantages. There is a clear connection between a common project methodology, better practice, and benefit realization. Through better front-end appraisal, municipalities might be able to reject projects that are not part of long-term strategies, that do not meet real needs, or that represent undue financial risks.

We have also studied five municipal investment projects with varying degrees of success, which were implemented in municipalities without investment regulations. Four of them have in common that the needs assessment was flawed; the opportunity space was too narrowly defined; and the goals were few or absent. A general observation is that neither economic analyses nor uncertainty based cost estimation seem to have had much impact. These projects show that the consequences of inadequate appraisal in the front-end can have negative consequences for project results. Investment regulations or project models will be able to weed out projects that should not be realized. Alternatively, one may identify concepts that better solves the municipality's needs. It is interesting to observe that the municipalities that have experienced major challenges in project selection and execution subsequently introduced systems to improve their grounds for decision making in future projects. We conclude that Norwegian municipalities have made efforts recently to improve project governance. Nonetheless, our recommendation is that investment practices in municipalities are subject to greater attention and that one examines the possibility of common guidelines. It is probably not appropriate that all municipalities establish project models with different terminology and concepts. A common project model applying to the whole municipal sector would have several advantages. It is a clear connection between a common project methodology, better practice and benefit realization. Through better front-end appraisal, municipalities may be able to reject projects that are not part of long-term strategies, which do not meet real needs or that represent an undue financial risk.

Paper version: ISSN 0803-9763

Web version: ISSN 0804-5585

Report	Title	Autor (s)
No. 1	Styring av prosjektporteføljer i staten. Usikkerhetsavsetning på porteføljenivå	Stein Berntsen and Thorleif Sunde
	Project Portfolio Management. Estimating Provisions for Uncertainty at Portfolio Level.	
No. 2	Statlig styring av prosjektledelse. Empiri og økonomiske prinsipper.	Dag Morten Dalen, Ola Lædre and Christian Riis
	Economic Incentives in Public Project Management	
No. 3	Beslutningsunderlag og beslutninger i store statlige investeringsprosjekt	Stein V. Larsen, Eilif Holte and Sverre Haanæs
	Decisions and the Basis for Decisions in Major Public Investment Projects	
No. 4	Konseptutvikling og evaluering i store statlige investeringsprosjekt	Hege Gry Solheim, Erik Dammen, Håvard O. Skaldebø, Eystein Myking, Elisabeth K. Svendsen and Paul Torgersen
	Concept Development and Evaluation in Major Public Investment Projects	
No. 5	Bedre behovsanalyser. Erfaringer og anbefalinger om behovsanalyser i store offentlige investeringsprosjekt	Petter Næss
	Needs Analysis in Major Public Investment Projects. Lessons and Recommendations	
No. 6	Målformulering i store statlige investeringsprosjekt	Ole Jonny Klakegg
	Alignment of Objectives in Major Public Investment Projects	
No. 7	Hvordan trur vi at det blir? Effektvurderinger av store offentlige prosjekt	Nils Olsson
	Up-front Conjecture of Anticipated Effects of Major Public Investment Projects	
No. 8	Realopsjoner og fleksibilitet i store offentlige investeringsprosjekt	Kjell Arne Brekke
	Real Options and Flexibility in Major Public Investment Projects	
No. 9	Bedre utforming av store offentlige investeringsprosjekter. Vurdering av behov, mål og effekt i tidligfasen	Petter Næss med bidrag fra Kjell Arne Brekke, Nils Olsson and Ole Jonny Klakegg
	Improved Design of Public Investment Projects. Up-front Appraisal of Needs, Objectives and Effects	
No. 10	Usikkerhetsanalyse – Kontekst og grunnlag	Kjell Austeng, Olav Torp, Jon
	Uncertainty Analysis – Context and Foundations	Terje Midtbø, Ingemund Jordanger, and Ole M Magnussen
No. 11	Usikkerhetsanalyse – Modellering, estimering og	Frode Drevland, Kjell Austeng and

Paper version: ISSN 0803-9763

Web version: ISSN 0804-5585

Report	Title	Autor (s)
	beregning	Olav Torp
	Uncertainty Analysis – Modeling, Estimation and Calculation	
No. 12	Metoder for usikkerhetsanalyse Uncertainty Analysis – Methodology	Kjell Austeng, Jon Terje Midtbø, Vidar Helland, Olav Torp and
		Ingemund Jordanger
No. 13	Usikkerhetsanalyse – Feilkilder i metode og beregning	Kjell Austeng, Vibeke Binz og Frode Drevland
	Uncertainty Analysis – Methodological Errors in Data and Analysis	
No. 14	Positiv usikkerhet og økt verdiskaping	Ingemund Jordanger
	Positive Uncertainty and Increasing Return on Investments	
No. 15	Kostnadsusikkerhet i store statlige investeringsprosjekter; Empiriske studier basert på KS2	Olav Torp (red.), Ole M Magnussen, Nils Olsson and Ole Jonny Klakegg
	Cost Uncertainty in Large Public Investment Projects. Empirical Studies	
No. 16	Kontrahering i prosjektets tidligfase. Forsvarets anskaffelser.	Erik N. Warberg
	Procurement in a Project's Early Phases. Defense Aquisitions	
No. 17	Beslutninger på svakt informasjonsgrunnlag. Tilnærminger og utfordringer i prosjekters tidlige fase	Kjell Sunnevåg (ed.)
	Decisions Based on Scant Information. Challenges and Tools During the Front-end Phases of Projects	
No. 18	Flermålsanalyser i store statlige investeringsprosjekt	Ingemund Jordanger, Stein Malerud, Harald Minken, and Arvid Strand
	Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis In Major Public Investment Projects	
No. 19	Effektvurdering av store statlige investeringsprosjekter	Bjørn Andersen, Svein Bråthen, Tom Fagerhaug, Ola Nafstad, Petter Næss and Nils Olsson
	Impact Assessment of Major Public Investment Projects	
No. 20	Investorers vurdering av prosjekters godhet Investors' Appraisal of Project Feasibility	Nils Olsson, Stein Frydenberg, Erik W. Jakobsen, Svein Arne Jessen, Roger Sørheim and Lillian Waagø

Paper version: ISSN 0803-9763

Web version: ISSN 0804-5585

Report	Title Major Projects: Logical Minimalism, Rationality and Grand Choices	Autor (s)
No. 22	Miljøøkonomi og samfunnsøkonomisk lønnsomhet Environmental Economics and Economic Viability	Kåre P. Hagen
No. 23	The Norwegian Front-End Governance Regime of Major Public <i>Projects – A Theoretically Based</i> <i>Analysis and Evaluation</i>	Tom Christensen
No. 24	Markedsorienterte styringsmetoder i miljøpolitikken Market oriented approaches to environmental policy	Kåre P. Hagen
No. 25	Regime for planlegging og beslutning i sykehusprosjekter	Asmund Myrbostad, Tarald Rohde, Pål Martinussen and Marte Lauvsnes
	Planning and Decision Making in Hospital Projects. Lessons with the Norwegian Governance Scheme.	
No. 26	Politisk styring, lokal rasjonalitet og komplekse koalisjoner. Tidligfaseprosessen i store offentlige investeringsprosjekter	Erik Whist and Tom Christensen
	Coalitions. Focus on the Front-End of Large Public Investment Projects	
No. 27	Verdsetting av fremtiden. Tidshorisont og diskonteringsrenter Valuing the future. Time Horizon and Discount	Kåre P. Hagen
	Rates	
No. 28	Fjorden, byen og operaen. En evaluering av Bjørvikautbyggingen i et beslutningsteoretisk perspektiv The Fjord, the City and the Opera. An Evaluation of Bjørvika Urban Development	Erik Whist and Tom Christensen
No. 29	Levedyktighet og investeringstiltak. Erfaringer fra kvalitetssikring av statlige investeringsprosjekter	Ola Lædre, Gro Holst Volden and Tore Haavaldsen
	Sustainability and Public Investments. Lessons from Major Public Investment Projects	
No. 30	Etterevaluering av statlige investeringsprosjekter. Konklusjoner, erfaringer og råd basert på pilotevaluering av fire prosjekter	Gro Holst Volden and Knut Samset
	Evaluating Public Investment Projects. Lessons and Advice from a Meta-Evaluation of Four Projects	

Paper version: ISSN 0803-9763

Web version: ISSN 0804-5585

Report	Title	Autor (s)
No. 31	Store statlige investeringers betydning for konkurranse- og markedsutviklingen. Håndtering av konkurransemessige problemstillinger i utredningsfasen	Asbjørn Englund, Harald Bergh, Aleksander Møll and Ove Skaug Halsos
	Major Public Investments' Impact on Competition. How to Deal with Competition Issues as Part of the Project Appraisal	
No. 32	Analyse av systematisk usikkerhet i norsk økonomi.	Haakon Vennemo, Michael Hoel and Henning Wahlquist
	Analysis of Systematic Uncertainty in the Norwegian Economy.	
No. 33	Planprosesser, beregningsverktøy og bruk av nytte-kostnadsanalyser i vegsektoren. En sammenlikning av praksis i Norge og Sverige.	Morten Welde, Jonas Eliasson, James Odeck, and Maria Börjesson
	Planning, Analytic Tools and the Use of Cost- Benefit Analysis in the Transport Sector in Norway and Sweden.	
No. 34	Mulighetsrommet. En studie om konseptutredninger og konseptvalg	Knut Samset, Bjørn Andersen and Kjell Austeng
	The Opportunity Space. A Study of Conceptual Appraisals and the Choice of Conceptual Solutions.	
No. 35	Statens prosjektmodell. Bedre kostnadsstyring. Erfaringer med de første investeringstiltakene som har vært gjennom ekstern kvalitetssikring	Knut Samset and Gro Holst Volden
No. 36	Investing for Impact. Lessons with the Norwegian State Project Model and the First Investment Projects that Have Been Subjected to External Quality Assurance	Knut Samset and Gro Holst Volden
No. 37	Bruk av karbonpriser i praktiske samfunnsøkonomiske analyser. En oversikt over praksis fra analyser av statlige investeringsprosjekter under KVU-/KS1- ordningen.	Gro Holst Volden
	Use of Carbon Prices in Cost-Benefit Analysis. Practices in Project Appraisals of Major Public Investment Projects under the Norwegian State Project Model	
No. 38	Ikke-prissatte virkninger i samfunnsøkonomisk analyse. Praksis og erfaringer i statlige investeringsprosjekter	Heidi Bull-Berg, Gro Holst Volden and Inger Lise Tyholt Grindvoll
	Non-Monetized Impacts in Economic Analysis. Practice and Lessons from Public Investment Projects	
No. 39	Lav prising – store valg. En studie av underestimering av kostnader i prosjekters tidligfase	Morten Welde, Knut Samset, Bjørn Andersen, and Kjell Austeng

Paper version: ISSN 0803-9763

Web version: ISSN 0804-5585

Report	Title	Autor (s)
	Low estimates – high stakes. A study of underestimation of costs in projects' earliest phase	
No. 40	Mot sin hensikt. Perverse insentiver – om offentlige investerings-prosjekter som ikke forplikter	Knut Samset, Gro Holst Volden, Morten Welde and Heidi Bull-Berg
	Perverse incentives and counterproductive investments. Public funding without liabilities for the recipients	
No. 41	Transportmodeller på randen. En utforsking av NTM5-modellens anvendelsesområde	Christian Steinsland and Lasse Fridstrøm
	Transport models and extreme scenarios. A test of the NTM5 model	
No. 42	Brukeravgifter i veisektoren	Kåre Petter Hagen and Karl Rolf
	User fees in the road sector	Pedersen
No. 43	Norsk vegplanlegging: Hvilke hensyn styrer anbefalingene	Arvid Strand, Silvia Olsen, Merethe Dotterud Leiren and Askill
	Road Planning in Norway: What governs the selection of projects?	Harkjerr Halse
No. 44	Ressursbruk i transportsektoren – noen mulige forbedringer	James Odeck (ed.) and Morten Welde (ed.)
	Resource allocation in the transport sector – some potential improvements	
No. 45	Kommunale investeringsprosjekter. Prosjektmodeller og krav til beslutningsunderlag.	Morten Welde, Jostein Aksdal and Inger Lise Tyholt Grindvoll
	Municipal investment practices in Norway	

Produksjon: www.fagtrykk.no 🚛 Miljømerket trykken, lisensnr. 241 811

Concept report No 45

www.ntnu.no/concept/

Forskningsprogrammet Concept skal utvikle kunnskap som sikrer bedre ressursutnytting og effekt av store, statlige investeringer. Programmet driver følgeforskning knyttet til de største statlige investeringsprosjektene over en rekke år. En skal trekke erfaringer fra disse som kan bedre utformingen og kvalitetssikringen av nye investeringsprosjekter før de settes i gang.

Concept er lokalisert ved Norges teknisk- naturvitenskapelige universitet i Trondheim (NTNU), ved Fakultet for ingeniørvitenskap og teknologi. Programmet samarbeider med ledende norske og internasjonale fagmiljøer og universiteter, og er finansiert av Finansdepartementet. The Concept research program aims to develop know-how to help make more efficient use of resources and improve the effect of major public investments. The Program is designed to follow up on the largest public projects over a period of several years, and help improve design and quality assurance of future public projects before they are formally approved.

The program is based at The Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Faculty of Engineering Science and Technology. It cooperates with key Norwegian and international professional institutions and universities, and is financed by the Norwegian Ministry of Finance.

Address:

The Concept Research Program Høgskoleringen 7A N-7491 NTNU Trondheim NORWAY

ISSN: 0803-9763 (papirversjon) ISSN: 0804-5585 (nettversjon) ISBN: 978-82-93253-43-3 (papirversjon) ISBN: 978-82-93253-44-0 (nettversjon)

